arXiv:1510.04044v2 [math.DS] 30 Jan 2016

LYAPUNOV FUNCTION PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS FOR CHEMICAL REACTION NETWORKS*

ZHOU FANG† AND CHUANHOU GAO†

Abstract. In this paper we develop a way to generate the Lyapunov function for stability analysis for chemical reaction networks. We take an approximation of the scaling non-equilibrium potential as a candidate Lyapunov function, and derive partial differential equations for the candidate Lyapunov function from the Chemical Master Equation. We further prove that for any chemical reaction network the solution (if exists) of the partial differential equations is dissipative, and for complex balanced networks, any network with 1-dimensional stoichiometric subspace and some special networks with more than 2-dimensional stoichiometric subspace, the solution is existent and is suited to be a Lyapuonv function for stability analysis. Some examples illustrate the efficiency of the method.

Key words. chemical reaction network, Lyapunov function PDEs, non-equilibrium potential, stability.

AMS subject classifications. 35F20, 37C10, 60J28, 80A30, 93D20

1. Introduction.

Chemical reactions networks (CRNs) arise abundantly in the fields including chemistry, systems biology, process industry, and even those seemingly irrelevant to chemistry such as mechanics and electrology. The dynamics of a CRN often appears to be extremely complex due to chemical interactions of cellular processes but sometimes still exhibiting certain regular behaviors like period solutions and stable-fixed-points. As a special subclass, mass-action CRNs have the dynamics of the concentrations of the various species captured by polynomial ordinary differential equations (ODEs), and have received much attention since the pioneer work [9–11, 15] emerged. A major concern over this class of CRNs is to understand the relations between network structures or parameters and dynamical properties [6, 7, 19], especially in characterizing the stability (in the sense of Lyapunov) property [1, 2, 15, 16, 18, 20]. Following this line of study, we also focus on the detection of the stability property of mass-action CRNs in the current work. For simplicity of appellation, we leave out "mass-action" when we say mass-action CRNs in the context.

The weakly reversible structure, a requirement of complex balanced CRN, is the most active one that has been studied. Horn et al. [15] proved the well-known *Deficiency Zero Theorem* that states a deficiency zero weakly reversible CRN, one-to-one mapping to a complex balanced CRN, to be locally asymptotically stable at the solely existing equilibrium in each positive stoichiometric compatibility class. Feinberg [11] extended this theorem to the famous *Deficiency One Theorem* that suggests a weakly reversible CRN to admit a sole equilibrium in each positive stoichiometric compatibility class if its deficiency is equal to the sum of deficiencies of all linkage classes included, and also, each linkage class contains just one terminal strong-linkage class and has deficiency no more than one. They proposed a general logarithmic Lyapunov function, referred to as the Gibbs' free energy hereinafter, for stability analysis. Based on these results, Sontag [18] further obtained that a complex balanced CRN has global asymptotical stability in each positive stoichiometric compatibility class if

*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11271326 and the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China under Grant No. 20130101110040.

†School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China. (Zhou_Fang@zju.edu.cn, gaochou@zju.edu.cn (Correspondence)).

the network is assumed to be persistent [10], i.e., no equilibria on the boundary of the class. Except for the weakly reversible structure, the reversible one, which acts as a special case of the former and is often equipped to detailed balanced CRNs, is also the focus of attention. Feinberg [12] derived necessary and sufficient conditions, i.e., circuit conditions and spanning forest conditions, to say a reversible CRN to be detailed balanced, which, naturally, can be proved locally asymptotically stable in each positive stoichiometric compatibility class. Recently, van der Schaft et. al. [20] revisited the detailed balanced CRNs, and reported a compact formulation to describe the dynamics utilizing the graph theory (*complex graph*). Their work allows one to easily characterize the local asymptotical stability of equilibria for detailed balanced CRNs. In the same way, the Gibbs' free energy serves as the Lyapunov function.

The central issue for stability analysis of a CRN lies in constructing a Lyapunov function according to the network structure. Although the Gibbs' free energy is capable for rendering asymptotical stability of CRNs equipped with the weakly reversible or reversible structure, it fails to serve for those CRNs, like $3S_2 \longrightarrow 3S_1 \longrightarrow 2S_1 + S_2$, where S_1 and S_2 are the species. We name this class of CRNs which admit equilibria as balanced CRNs. Clearly, the network structure is neither weakly reversible nor reversible. For balanced CRNs, Angeli and his coauthor [1] proposed *Piecewise Linear in Rates Lyapunov Functions* for stability analysis. The existence of such functions (they defined the networks having this attribute as $\mathscr{P}$ network set, which is actually a subset of balanced CRNs) could guarantee stability of equilibrium, and further serves to establish asymptotical stability within the corresponding positive stoichiometric compatibility class if the Lyapunov function satisfies the LaSalle's condition. Another possible solution to address the stability problem of a balanced CRN comes from the concept of realization presented by Szederkényi et. al. [19]. It is possible to find a complex balanced or detailed balanced realization for the network in question, then its asymptotical stability holds based on the dynamics equivalence between the network and its realization.

Unlike all of the above investigations stemming from macroscopic deterministic analysis, Anderson et. al. [2] managed to design a Lyapunov function from a microscopic stochastic concept related to CRNs, termed as non-equilibrium potential that equals to the minus logarithm of stationary distribution of state. They proved that the scaling limit of non-equilibrium potential could act as a Lyapunov function for some CRNs. Moreover, such a limit value is just the well-known Gibbs' free energy in the case of complex balanced CRNs. This design thought is also valid for general birth-death CRNs and some examples of non-complex and non-detailed balanced CRNs. These encouraging results motivate us to find a Lyapunov function for CRNs rooted in their microscopic concepts. Since it usually needs to know the explicit expression of the stationary distribution when calculating the scaling limit of non-equilibrium potential, Anderson's method [2] fails to deal with those CRNs having no explicit expression about probability distribution of state. Instead, we take an approximation of the scaling non-equilibrium potential directly as a possible Lyapunov function, and carry out this idea on Chemical Master Equation. A partial differential equation (PDE) is thus derived with the solutions as latent Lyapunov functions. We have further proved the equation solutions dissipative, and could serve for complex balanced CRNs, all networks with 1-dimensional stoichiometric subspace and some special networks with more than 2-dimensional stoichiometric subspace.

The reminder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 revisits some basic concepts about CRNs and the macroscopic deterministic dynamics of network de-

rived from microscopic stochastic model. This is followed by the development of the Lyapunov Function PDEs in Section 3. Section 4 devotes to analyzing the property of solutions of the Lyapunov Function PDEs, and applications to complex balanced CRNs and those with 1-dimensional stoichiometric subspace. In Section 5, we discuss the validity of the Lyapunov Function PDEs relative to some special examples of CRNs with more than 2-dimensional stoichiometric subspace. Finally, conclusions and some open problems, especially a conjecture to say the validity of the Lyapunov Function PDEs relative to general balanced CRNs, are summarized in Section 6.

Mathematical Notation:

$x^{v_{\cdot i}}$**:** $x^{v_{\cdot i}} = \prod_{j=1}^{d} x_j^{v_{ji}}$, where $x, v_{\cdot i} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and 0^0 is defined to be 1.
$\mathrm{Ln}(x)$**:** $\mathrm{Ln}(x) = (\ln x_1, \ln x_2, \cdots, \ln x_d)^\top$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$.
$\otimes$**:** Cartesian product.
$\|$**:** Parallel sign.

---

2. Preliminary on CRNs. In this section, we will sketch some basic concepts about CRNs [13] and revisit the macroscopic dynamics of mass-action CRNs based on the microscopic analysis [2].

2.1. Basic Concepts. Consider a system involved in n species, denoted by $\{S_1, S_2, ..., S_n\}$, and r chemical reactions with the ith reaction $\mathcal{R}_i$ written as

$$\Sigma_{j=1}^{n} v_{ji} S_j \longrightarrow \Sigma_{j=1}^{n} v'_{ji} S_j$$

where $v_{\cdot i}$, $v'_{\cdot i} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ represent the complexes of reactant and resultant, respectively, of this reaction. There may induce c complexes in the whole system. Note that we label each reaction as a unidirectional reaction, here. If the ith reaction is reversible, the reverse reaction is naturally covered by exchanging $v_{\cdot i}$ and $v'_{\cdot i}$ in the reaction.

Based on the above information, some basic concepts about CRNs may be defined [13].

Definition 2.1 (Chemical Reaction Network). *Let* $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_n\}$, $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \{v_i, v'_i\}$ *and* $\mathcal{R} = \{v_{\cdot 1} \to v'_{\cdot 1}, v_{\cdot 2} \to v'_{\cdot 2}, \cdots, v_{\cdot r} \to v'_{\cdot r}\}$ *be the finite sets of species, complexes and reactions, respectively, also* $\mathrm{Card}(\mathcal{C}) = c$. *If the following conditions hold*

(i) $\forall\ v_{\cdot i} \in \mathcal{C}$ $(i = 1, \cdots, c)$, $v_{\cdot i} \to v_{\cdot i} \notin \mathcal{R}$, *but there exists* $v'_{\cdot i} \in \mathcal{C}$ *such that* $v_{\cdot i} \to v'_{\cdot i} \in \mathcal{R}$ *or such that* $v'_{\cdot i} \to v_{\cdot i} \in \mathcal{R}$;
(ii) *the* j*th* $(j = 1, \cdots, n)$ *entry of* $v_{\cdot i}$ *represents the stoichiometric coefficient of species* $S_j \in \mathcal{S}$ *in complex* $v_{\cdot i}$;

then the triple $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$ *is called a chemical reaction network.*

Definition 2.2 (Stoichiometric Subspace). *For a CRN* $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$, *the linear subspace* $\mathscr{S} = \mathrm{span}\{v_{\cdot 1} - v'_{\cdot 1}, v_{\cdot 2} - v'_{\cdot 2}, \cdots, v_{\cdot r} - v'_{\cdot r}\}$ *is called the stoichiometric subspace of the network.*

Definition 2.3 (Stoichiometric Compatibility Class). *Let* $\mathscr{S}$ *be the stoichiometric subspace of a CRN* $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$ *and* $C \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ *be a nonnegative* n*-dimensional vector, then* $C + \mathscr{S} = \{C + \xi | \xi \in \mathscr{S}\}$ *is a stoichiometric compatibility class of the network;* $(C + \mathscr{S}) \bigcap \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ *is a nonnegative stoichiometric compatibility class and* $(C + \mathscr{S}) \bigcap \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ *is a positive stoichiometric compatibility class.*

A CRN is essentially a thermodynamic system with the state completely identified by the vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ of concentrations x_j of the chemical species S_j, $j = 1, \cdots, n$,

in the case of mass action kinetics.

DEFINITION 2.4 (Complex Balanced CRN). *For a CRN $\{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{C},\mathcal{R}\}$, a vector of concentrations $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ is called a complex equilibrium if at this state the combined rate of outgoing reactions from any complex is equal to the combined rate of incoming reactions to it. A CRN that admits a complex equilibrium is said to be a complex balanced CRN.*

Here, the reaction rate is a macroscopic concept that obeys the power law in concentration variable for a mass-action CRN. We will revisit the deviation process from its microscopical stochastic pattern to the macroscopical deterministic expression in the following.

2.2. From Microscopic Stochastic Model to Macroscopic Deterministic Dynamics. In microscopic molecular level, a mass-action CRN $\{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{C},\mathcal{R}\}$ is commonly modeled as a continuous-time Markov chain, following which every reaction takes place like a Poisson process [5, 8]. This allows for counting the frequency that every reaction $\mathcal{R}_i$ $(i = 1, \cdots, r)$ takes place from initial time 0 to time t as

$$F_i(t) = \Omega_i \left(\int_0^t \lambda_i(N(\tau))\mathrm{d}\tau \right) \tag{2.1}$$

where $N \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ is the vector of numbers of molecules N_j of every species S_j, $j = 1, \cdots, n$, which indicates the state of the microscopic CRN, $\Omega_i(\cdot)$ represents the independent unit-rate Poisson process characterizing $\mathcal{R}_i$, and $\lambda_i(N) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a intensity function reflecting the transition extent of $\mathcal{R}_i$. The update for the state $N(t)$ is thus expressed, according to conservation law, as

$$\begin{aligned} N(t) &= N(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} F_i(t)(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i}) \\ &= N(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \Omega_i \left(\int_0^t \lambda_i(N(\tau))\mathrm{d}\tau \right) (v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

This representation is often referred to as the stochastic model of the mass-action CRN $\{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{C},\mathcal{R}\}$ in the meaning of microscopic level. Clearly, if $N(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$, then $N(t) \in (C + \mathscr{S}) \bigcap \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$.

One point should be noted that the model (2.2) only works up to the time satisfying $\sup\{t|F_i(N(t)) \leq \infty, \forall i\}$, i.e., no explosion of the process. However, this condition is always true if every transition intensity $\lambda_i(N(t))$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$, is bounded. Usually, the intensity function is assumed to follow mass-action kinetics, which means that there exists a constant $\tilde{k}_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, termed the rate constant, such that

$$\lambda_i(N) = \tilde{k}_i \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{N_j!}{(N_j - v_{ji})!} \mathbb{1}_{\{N_j > v_{ji}\}} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{\{N_j > v_{ji}\}}$ is the characteristic function, defined by

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{N_j > v_{ji}\}} = \begin{cases} 1, & N_j > v_{ji} \\ 0, & N_j \leq v_{ji} \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

The expressions (2.3) of $\lambda_i(N)$ from $i = 1$ to n can ensure the evolution process of most of CRNs to be non-explosive. Under this condition, the stochastic model of

(2.2) is equivalent to the corresponding Kolmogorov's forward equation, often called Chemical Master Equation, that describes the probability distribution $P(N,t)$ of $N(t)$ as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P(N,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i(N + v_{\cdot i} - v'_{\cdot i})P(N + v_{\cdot i} - v'_{\cdot i}, t) - P(N,t)\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i(N) \tag{2.5}$$

Definition 2.5 (Stationary Distribution). *A probability distribution $\pi(N)$ is a stationary distribution for the Markov chain on $(N(0) + \mathscr{S}) \bigcap \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ if it satisfies*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i(N + v_{\cdot i} - v'_{\cdot i})\pi(N + v_{\cdot i} - v'_{\cdot i}) - \pi(N)\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i(N) = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

where $\pi(N + v_{\cdot i} - v'_{\cdot i}) = 0$ if $N + v_{\cdot i} - v'_{\cdot i} \notin (N(0) + \mathscr{S}) \bigcap \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$.

The ergodic property of the continuous-time Markov chain states [17] that if the chain on $(N(0) + S) \bigcap \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0}$ is irreducible and recurrent, then $\pi(N)$ is existent and unique.

We then revisit the macroscopic deterministic dynamics of the underlying CRN derived from the microscopic stochastic model of (2.2) by neglecting the random part under an appropriate scaling level. Consider the differential form of (2.2) that includes two parts: the first one is the deterministic part $\tilde{N}(t)$, i.e., the drift (expectation) rate, satisfying

$$\tilde{N}(t) = \lim_{\mathrm{d}t \to 0^+} \frac{\mathrm{E}\left[N(t + \mathrm{d}t) - N(t) \middle| N(t)\right]}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i\big(N(t)\big)\big(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{i\cdot}\big)$$

while the second one is the random part $\hat{N}(t)$, which is related to the following variance rate

$$\hat{N}(t)^\top \hat{N}(t) = \lim_{\mathrm{d}t \to 0^+} \frac{\sigma^2\left[N(t + \mathrm{d}t) - N(t) \middle| N(t)\right]}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i\big(N(t)\big)\big(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i}\big)^\top\big(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i}\big)$$

As the scale level increases, such as increasing from the molecular level to molar level, the random part $\hat{N}(t)$, compared to the deterministic part $\tilde{N}(t)$, contributes to the system smaller and smaller, and can be ignored at last. Also, note the fact that $N_j \gg v_{ji},\ \forall i, j$, then the stochastic model of (2.2) changes to be a deterministic one that describes the evolution of concentration vector $x(t) = \frac{N(t)}{A_v \cdot V}$, written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \tilde{k}_i (A_v V)^{|v_{\cdot i}|-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{v_{ji}}\right)(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i}) \tag{2.7}$$

where A_v is the Avogadro constant, V the volume of the system, $|v_{\cdot i}|$ indicates the sum of entries of vector $v_{\cdot i}$, $k_i = \tilde{k}_i (A_v V)^{|v_{\cdot i}|-1}$ is the reaction rate coefficient for the ith reaction in the meaning of macroscopic level and $x^{v_{\cdot i}} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{v_{ji}}$.

One point needs to be noted that although it is a fact that the continuous-valued deterministic equation (2.7) arises from the discrete probability model (2.5), the moving between these two extremes are poorly understood. Some simulation analysis may be found in [14].

3. Lyapunov Function PDEs. This section contributes to deriving Lyapunov function PDEs for mass-action CRNs based on the relations between some microscopic concepts and macroscopic ones.

3.1. Lyapunov Function Derived from Stationary Distribution for Complex Balanced CRNs. The CRNs theory [5,8] reveals that there exists close relation between the microscopic stochastic dynamics and the macroscopic deterministic dynamics. Motivated by this fact, Anderson et al. [2] derived a Lyapunov function, a macroscopic concept, from the stationary distribution, a microscopic notion, for the stability analysis of complex balanced CRNs.

From the viewpoint of the macroscopic dynamics (2.7), a CRN is complex balanced if $\exists\ x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ such that for $\forall\ z \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}=z\}} k_i \left(x^*\right)^{v_{\cdot i}} = \sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}=z\}} k_i \left(x^*\right)^{v_{\cdot i}} \tag{3.1}$$

For this class of CRNs, the Gibbs' free energy, defined by

$$G(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \left(\ln(x_j) - \ln(x_j^*) - 1\right) + x_j^*, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0} \tag{3.2}$$

is a frequently-used Lyapunov function [16,20]. Despite being a macroscopic concept, the Gibbs' free energy can be derived from the stationary distribution, a microscopic notion. As an example of a complex balanced CRN that admits an equilibrium of x^*, the stationary distribution $\pi(N)$ can be solved from (2.6) as [3]

$$\pi(N) = M \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{{x_j^*}^{N_j}}{N_j!}$$

where $M \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is a positive constant. The non-equilibrium potential is thus expressed as

$$-\ln(\pi(N)) = -\ln M - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ln \frac{{x_j^*}^{N_j}}{N_j!}$$

Further, Anderson et al. [2] proved that the scaling limit of non-equilibrium potential is just right the Gibbs' free energy, i.e.,

$$\lim_{A_v V \to \infty} -\frac{1}{A_v V} \ln\left(\pi(A_v V x)\right) = G(x) \tag{3.3}$$

They also asserted that the scaling limit of non-equilibrium potential can suggest a Lyapunov function for the birth-death processes and some other special cases of non-complex balanced CRNs [2].

Frankly speaking, the scaling limit of non-equilibrium potential provides a very effective way for some CRNs to achieve the Lyapunov function with a definite physical meaning. However, it seems not easy to apply this way to more general CRNs. The main reason is that solving (2.6) is generally a difficult task. To avoid this task, an alternative way is to take an approximation of the scaling non-equilibrium potential $-\frac{1}{A_v V} \ln\left(\pi(A_v V x)\right)$ directly as a candidate Lyapuonv function. Note that the former is naturally defined on a discrete set $\{x | A_v V x \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}\}$ while the latter requests to

be a continuous function defined on $\{x|x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}\}$. Although this process seems not rigorous, it does not need, indeed, to know the explicit expression of the stationary distribution. The only information that needs knowing is its existence. We will follow this idea to derive Lyapunov fucntion PDEs, and further solve Lyapunov functions for stability analysis of more general CRNs below.

3.2. Development of Lyapunov Function PDEs. The approximation of the scaling non-equilibrium potential may be performed on the Chemical Master Equation (2.5) of a CRN $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$. Through dividing (2.5) by $-P(N,t)A_vV$, we can rewrite this equation as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(-\frac{\ln\big(P(N,t)\big)}{A_vV}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{\lambda_i(N)}{A_vV} - \sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{\lambda_i(N+v_{\cdot i}-v'_{\cdot i})}{A_vV}\frac{P(N+v_{\cdot i}-v'_{\cdot i},t)}{P(N,t)} \tag{3.4}$$

which is actually an ordinary differential equation about the scaling non-equilibrium potential. Assume that there exists a positive stationary distribution $\pi(N)$ for the CRN of interest, so does the corresponding non-equilibrium potential. For simplicity of notations, denote the scaling non-equilibrium potential by $L(x) = -\frac{1}{A_vV}\ln\big(\pi(A_vVx)\big)$, and then inserting it into (3.4) will yield

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}L(x)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= 0 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{\lambda_i(A_vVx)}{A_vV} - \frac{\lambda_i(A_vVx+v_{\cdot i}-v'_{\cdot i})}{A_vV}\exp\left\{\frac{L(x)-L\left(x+(v_{\cdot i}-v'_{\cdot i})/A_vV\right)}{1/A_vV}\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}^1, x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$, approximate $L(x), x \in \{A_vVx \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}\}$, in the above equation, which together with the fact $A_vV \gg (v_{ji} - v'_{ji}),\ \forall\ i,j$, leads to the exponential term written as

$$\exp\left\{\frac{f(x)-f\left(x+(v_{\cdot i}-v'_{\cdot i})/A_vV\right)}{1/A_vV}\right\} \approx \exp\left\{(v'_{\cdot i}-v_{\cdot i})^\top\nabla f(x)\right\}$$

For the remaining two terms $\frac{\lambda_i(A_vVx)}{A_vV}$ and $\frac{\lambda_i(A_vVx+v_{\cdot i}-v'_{\cdot i})}{A_vV}$, they might be thought as the same, and be approximated by $k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}$. As a result, the Chemical Master Equation of (3.5) changes to be a first-order partial differential equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}} - \sum_{i=1}^{r}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}\exp\left\{(v'_{\cdot i}-v_{\cdot i})^\top\nabla f(x)\right\} = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

To solve a PDE, it usually needs to know the related boundary conditions. For the above one, we derive its boundary conditions also based on the approximation to those for the Chemical Master Equation. Since it is very hard to directly analyze the boundary conditions for (3.6), we manage to get an insight into them through the following example of a special CRN.

Example 1. *Consider a CRN including a first-order reversible reaction* $S_1 \leftrightharpoons S_2$, *then* $v_{\cdot 1} = v'_{\cdot 2} = (1,0)^\top$ *and* $v_{\cdot 2} = v'_{\cdot 1} = (0,1)^\top$. *Within the boundary points set* $\{\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2)^\top | \bar{x}_1 = 0, A_vV\bar{x}_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$, *one can calculate*

$$\lambda_1(A_vV\bar{x}) = \lambda_2(A_vV\bar{x} + v_{\cdot 2} - v'_{\cdot 2}) = 0$$

Thus, a boundary condition for the Chemical Master Equation of this CRN may be written as

$$\frac{\lambda_2(A_vV\bar{x})}{A_vV} - \frac{\lambda_1(A_vV\bar{x} + v_{\cdot 1} - v'_{\cdot 1})}{A_vV} \exp\left\{\frac{L(\bar{x}) - L\left(\bar{x} + (v_{\cdot 1} - v'_{\cdot 1})/A_vV\right)}{1/A_vV}\right\} = 0$$

Similar to the approximation from (3.5) to (3.6), the above equation will be approximated to be

$$\lim_{x\to\bar{x},\ x\in\mathbb{R}^2_{>0}} k_2 x^{v_{\cdot 2}} - k_1 x^{v_{\cdot 1}} \exp\left\{(v'_{\cdot 1} - v_{\cdot 1})^\top \nabla f(x)\right\} = 0$$

Here, the boundary condition is expressed as evaluating the limit of the corresponding function but not the function value evaluated on $\{\bar{x} = 0 \otimes \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$, the main reason for which is that $\nabla f(\bar{x})$ maybe dose not exist.

The above example provides a clear insight into how to express the boundary conditions for the PDE (3.6), i.e., identifying non-zero intensity functions within the boundary areas. Following this purpose, we divide all intensity functions appearing in (3.5) into two groups: one group just includes zero intensity functions, the other group includes non-zero intensity functions only. Note the fact that within the boundary points set the intensity functions only depend on the complexes. Hence, the complexes set $\mathcal{C}$ would be divided into two groups, one of which will lead to nonzero (i.e., positive) intensity functions within the boundary points set, denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}$. Let the boundary point for the PDE (3.6) be $\bar{x} \in \partial_{(\bar{x}+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}} \triangleq \{x \mid x \in (\bar{x}+\mathscr{S}) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \text{ and } x \notin \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}\}$, then we could write the boundary condition as follows

$$\lim_{\substack{x\to\bar{x} \\ x\in(x(0)+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}} \sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}\in\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} - \sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}\in\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} \exp\{(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i})^\top \nabla f(x)\} = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

where $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$.

Clearly, identifying $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}$ plays a key role on formulating the boundary conditions. We name it as boundary complex set in the context. For *Example* 1, the boundary complex set is $\{(0,1)^\top\}$ corresponding to the boundary area $\{\bar{x} = 0 \otimes \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$. Generally speaking, it is not easy to identify $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}$, especially when the underlying CRN is complex. A possible expression for it may be obtained from revisiting (2.3) where positive intensity function requests $N_j > v_{ji},\ \forall\ i, j$. We thus can express a particular boundary complex set as

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}} = \{z \in \mathcal{C} \mid \exists\ \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } \forall j = 1, \cdots, n,\ \bar{x}_j \geq \epsilon z_j\} \tag{3.8}$$

which is referred to as naive boundary complex set in the context.

The PDE (3.6) and its boundary condition (3.7) will serve for generating the Lyapunov function for macroscopic deterministic mass-action CRNs. They are referred to as *Lyapunov Function PDEs* throughout the paper.

4. Solutions of Lyapunov Function PDEs. This section focuses on analyzing the property and usage of solutions (if exists) of Lyapunov Function PDEs.

4.1. Dissipativeness of Solutions. It is hoped that the solutions of the Lyapunov Function PDEs (3.6) plus (3.7) are existent, and are also able to suggest a Lyapunov function for the CRN under study. Hence, they are expected to satisfy the necessary conditions for becoming a Lyapunov function, like positive definiteness and dissipativeness. Since it is not easy to analyze the existence and positive definiteness

for general CRNs (maybe more details about CRNs are needed), we only throw our concerns on the dissipativeness, here.

THEOREM 4.1. *For a mass-action CRN $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$ described by (2.7), assume that there exists a solution $f(x)$ for its Lyapunov Function PDEs (3.6) plus (3.7). Then, the solution $f(x)$ satisfies*

$$\dot{f}(x) \leq 0, \qquad \forall\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0} \tag{4.1}$$

where the equality holds if and only if $\nabla f(x) \perp \mathscr{S}$.

Proof. Reorganize the PDE (3.6) to be

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} \left(1 - \exp\left\{(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i})^\top \nabla f(x)\right\}\right) = 0$$

and further perform the Taylor expansion of $\exp\left\{(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i})^\top \nabla f(x)\right\}$ with respect to zero, then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} (v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i})^\top \nabla f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} k'_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\eta_i}}{2} \left[(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i})^\top \nabla f(x)\right]^2 = 0$$

where $\eta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and lies between 0 and $(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i})^\top \nabla f(x)$. Since for $\forall\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ there is

$$\dot{f}(x) = x^\top \nabla f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} (v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i})^\top \nabla f(x)$$

we get

$$\dot{f}(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\eta_i}}{2} \left[(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i})^\top \nabla f(x)\right]^2 \leq 0$$

in which the equality is established if and only if for $\forall\ i,\ (v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i})^\top \nabla f(x) = 0$, i.e., $\nabla f(x) \perp \mathscr{S}$. ∎

Remark 1. *The dissipativeness of $f(x)$ means that it has one of necessary properties to become a Lyapunov function. In addition, this property implies that $-f(x)$ will always increase as time goes by, which further indicates that there may be a close relation between $-f(x)$ and the entropy function, an important concept in thermodynamics. A possible point of future research may be to define or derive the entropy expression based on the Lyapunov Function PDEs instead of the Gibbs' Equation.*

4.2. Applied to Complex Balanced CRNs. We will demonstrate that the Lyapunov function PDEs can work for complex balanced CRNs.

As mentioned in Section 3.1, a complex balanced CRN admits an equilibrium x^* satisfying

$$\sum_{\{i | v_{\cdot i} = z\}} k_i \left(x^*\right)^{v_{\cdot i}} = \sum_{\{i | v'_{\cdot i} = z\}} k_i \left(x^*\right)^{v_{\cdot i}}$$

Moreover, this equilibrium was proved locally asymptotically stable through taking the Gibbs' free energy as the Lyapunov function [15, 16]. An immediate idea is to verify that the Gibbs' free energy is a solution of the Lyapunov function PDEs, which

in turn indicates that the Lyapunov function PDEs can work for complex balanced CRNs.

THEOREM 4.2. *For a CRN $\{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{C},\mathcal{R}\}$ that admits a complex balanced equilibrium $x^*\in\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, the Gibbs' free energy of (3.2) is a solution of the corresponding Lyapuonv function PDEs (3.6) plus (3.7) whatever the boundary complex set is.*

Proof. For $\forall\ x\in(x(0)+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, the gradient of the Gibbs' free energy is

$$\nabla G(x)=\mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)=\left(\ln\left(\frac{x_1}{x_1^*}\right),\ln\left(\frac{x_2}{x_2^*}\right),\cdots,\ln\left(\frac{x_n}{x_n^*}\right)\right)^\top.$$

Substituting it into the left hand side (L.H.S) of (3.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{L.H.S of Eq. (3.6)}&=\sum_{i=1}^{r}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{r}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}\exp\left\{(v'_{\cdot i}-v_{\cdot i})^\top\mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)\right\}\\
&=\sum_{z\in\mathcal{C}}\left(\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}=z\}}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}-\sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}=z\}}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}\exp\left\{(v'_{\cdot i}-v_{\cdot i})^\top\mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)\right\}\right)\\
&=\sum_{z\in\mathcal{C}}\left(\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}=z\}}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}-\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)^z\sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}=z\}}k_i(x^*)^{v_{\cdot i}}\right)\\
&=\sum_{z\in\mathcal{C}}\left(\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}=z\}}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}-\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)^z\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}=z\}}k_i(x^*)^{v_{\cdot i}}\right)\\
&=\sum_{z\in\mathcal{C}}\left(\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}=z\}}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}-\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}=z\}}k_i(x^*)^{v_{\cdot i}}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)^{v_{\cdot i}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $G(x)$ satisfies the PDE of (3.6).

We further verify that $G(x)$ satisfies the boundary condition of (3.7). Let $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}$ be a boundary complex set induced by any boundary point $\bar{x}$, then the left hand side of (3.7) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\substack{x\to\bar{x}\\ x\in(x(0)+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}}\ \sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}\in\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}-\sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}\in\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}\exp\left\{(v'_{\cdot i}-v_{\cdot i})^\top\mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)\right\}\\
=&\lim_{\substack{x\to\bar{x}\\ x\in(x(0)+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}}\ \sum_{z\in\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}}\left(\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}=z\}}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}-\sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}=z\}}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}\exp\left\{(v'_{\cdot i}-v_{\cdot i})^\top\mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)\right\}\right)\\
=&\lim_{\substack{x\to\bar{x}\\ x\in(x(0)+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}}\ \sum_{z\in\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}}\left(\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}=z\}}k_ix^{v_{\cdot i}}-\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}=z\}}k_i(x^*)^{v_{\cdot i}}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)^{v_{\cdot i}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the above equation holds independent of the selection of $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}$, which completes the proof. □

As one has known, the Gibbs' free energy is an available Lyapunov function for analyzing the stability of a complex balanced CRN [15, 16]. Thus we have

THEOREM 4.3. *For a CRN $\{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{C},\mathcal{R}\}$ possessing a complex balanced equilibrium $x^*\in\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, the Lyapunov function PDEs (3.6) plus (3.7) are able to serve for producing a Lyapunov function, the Gibbs' free energy $G(x)$ defined by (3.2), to suggest this network to be locally asymptotically stable at x^*.*

Proof. As proved in *Theorem 4.2*, the Gibbs' free energy $G(x)$ defined by (3.2) is a solution of the Lyapunov function PDEs (3.6) plus (3.7). For $\forall\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, make the second-order Taylor's expansion of $G(x)$ at x^*, then we get

$$G(x) = G(x^*) + (x - x^*)^\top \nabla G(x^*) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x^*)^\top \frac{\partial^2 G(x)}{\partial x^2}\bigg|_{x=\xi} (x - x^*)$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ and lies between x and x^*. Since $G(x^*) = 0$, $\nabla G(x^*) = \mathbb{0}_n$ and $\frac{\partial^2 G(x)}{\partial x^2}\Big|_{x=\xi} = \mathrm{diag}\{1/\xi_1, \cdots, 1/\xi_n\}$, $G(x)$ is positive definite with respect to x^*.

Combining *Theorems 4.1* and *4.2*, we get $\dot{G}(x) \leq 0$ with the equality holding if and only if $\nabla G(x) \perp \mathscr{S}$. The condition means that for $\forall\ \mathcal{R}_i :\ v_{\cdot i} \to v'_{\cdot i}$ there is

$$v_{\cdot i}^\top \mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right) = v'^\top_{\cdot i} \mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)$$

Clearly, when $x = x^*$ this equation is true. In turn, if the equation leads to $x = x^*$, then the proof is finished. From the above equation, we get

$$x^{v_{\cdot i}} = (x^*)^{v_{\cdot i}} \left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)^{v'_{\cdot i}}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\{i|v_i=z\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} &= \sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}=z\}} k_i (x^*)^{v_{\cdot i}} \left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)^{v_{\cdot i}} = \left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)^z \sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}=z\}} k_i (x^*)^{v_{\cdot i}} \\
&= \left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)^z \sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}=z\}} k_i (x^*)^{v_{\cdot i}} = \sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}=z\}} k_i (x^*)^{v_{\cdot i}} \left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)^{v'_{\cdot i}} \\
&= \sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}=z\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, x is an equilibrium. Since in every positive stoichiometric compatibility class there only exists an equilibrium [10], x must be equal to x^*, i.e., $\dot{G}(x) \leq 0$ with the equality holding if and only if $x = x^*$. According to the second Lyapunov Theorem, $G(x)$ is an available Lyapunov function that can suggest the underlying CRN to be locally asymptotically stable at x^*. □

Actually, the proof that $G(x)$ is an available Lyapunov function for complex balanced CRNs has emerged many times [15, 16], but we reach it here based on the current logical thought.

The above two theorems reveal that the Lyapunov function PDEs can serve for complex balanced CRNs very well, which rises the same function with the method proposed by Anderson et al. [2] of the scaling limit of non-equilibrium potential.

4.3. Applied to CRNs with dim$\mathscr{S} = 1$. The Lyapunov function PDEs are extended to serve for CRNs with one dimensional stoichiometric subspace in this subsection.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *For a CRN $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$ with dim$\mathscr{S} = 1$, the Lyapunov function PDEs are*

$$(u-1)\left[\sum_{\{i|m_i>0\}} (k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} u^j\right) + \sum_{\{i|m_i<0\}} (k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}) \left(-\sum_{j=m_i}^{-1} u^j\right)\right] = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

plus the boundary condition

$$\lim_{\substack{x \to \bar{x} \\ x \in (x(0)+\mathscr{S}) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}} \sum_{\{i | v_{\cdot i} \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} - \sum_{\{i | v'_{\cdot i} \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} u^{m_i} = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

where $u = \exp\{w^\top \nabla f\}$, $w \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{\mathbb{0}_n\}$ *and* $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\},\ i = 1, \cdots, r$, *satisfying*

$$v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i} = m_i w. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. When $\dim \mathscr{S} = 1$, any element among $\{v'_{\cdot 1} - v_{\cdot 1}, \cdots, v'_{\cdot r} - v_{\cdot r}\}$ can be used to express linearly the remaining $r-1$ ones. Therefore, there must exist a $w \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{\mathbb{0}_n\}$ acting as a set of bases of $\mathscr{S}$ such that

$$v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i} = m_i w,\ \forall\ i = 1, \cdots, r,\ m_i \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}.$$

In this case, the PDE of (3.6) changes to be

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} \left(1 - e^{m_i (w^\top \nabla f(x))}\right) = 0$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{\{i | m_i > 0\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} \left(1 - e^{m_i (w^\top \nabla f(x))}\right) + \sum_{\{i | m_i < 0\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} \left(1 - e^{m_i (w^\top \nabla f(x))}\right) = 0$$

By setting $u = e^{w^\top \nabla f(x)}$, we get the Lyapunov function PDEs (4.2) plus (4.3) for CRNs with $\dim \mathscr{S} = 1$. □

Corollary 4.5. *For any constant* $\mathfrak{c}$, $f(x) = \mathfrak{c}$ *is a solution of the PDE (4.2).*

Proof. The result is immediate since $u = 1$ is a solution of the PDE. □

Remark 2. *If* $f(x) = \mathfrak{c}$ *satisfies the boundary condition of (4.3), then*

$$\lim_{\substack{x \to \bar{x} \\ x \in (x(0)+\mathscr{S}) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}} \sum_{\{i | v_{\cdot i} \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} - \sum_{\{i | v'_{\cdot i} \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} = 0$$

This requests the underlying CRN to be more special but not only limited to admitting one dimensional stoichiometric subspace. Therefore, the constant solution $f(x) = \mathfrak{c}$ *is usually not qualified to follow the boundary condition (4.3) for a general CRN with* $dim\mathscr{S} = 1$.

The above reason motivates us to be only interested in the solutions making the second term of the L.H.S of (4.2) vanish, i.e.,

$$\sum_{\{i | m_i > 0\}} (k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_i - 1} u^j\right) + \sum_{\{i | m_i < 0\}} (k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}) \left(-\sum_{j=m_i}^{-1} u^j\right) = 0$$

Proposition 4.6. *For a CRN* $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$ *with* $dim\mathscr{S} = 1$, *let a scalar function* $g(x, u)$ *defined on* $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ *be*

$$g(x,u) = \sum_{\{i | m_i > 0\}} (k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_i - 1} u^j\right) + \sum_{\{i | m_i < 0\}} (k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}) \left(-\sum_{j=m_i}^{-1} u^j\right) \tag{4.5}$$

If the CRN admits a positive steady state $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, then there exists a unique $\tilde{u}(x) \in \mathscr{C}^1$ such that $g(x, \tilde{u}(x)) = 0$.

Proof. Since the CRN admits a positive steady state x^*, its dynamics satisfies

$$\dot{x}|_{x=x^*} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i (x^*)^{v_{\cdot i}} (v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i}) = \sum_{\{i|m_i>0\}} k_i (x^*)^{v_{\cdot i}} (m_i w) - \sum_{\{i|m_i<0\}} k_i (x^*)^{v_{\cdot i}} (|m_i| w) = 0$$

which indicates that neither $\{i|m_i > 0\}$ nor $\{i|m_i < 0\}$ is an empty set. Combing this fact and the definition (4.5) of $g(x, u)$ yields that $g(x, u)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and moreover for $\forall\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, $g(x, u)$ is continuous differentiable about u with

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} g(x,u) = \sum_{\{i|m_i>0\}} (k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_i - 1} j u^{j-1} \right) + \sum_{\{i|m_i<0\}} (k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}) \left(\sum_{j=m_i}^{-1} (-j) u^{j-1} \right) > 0$$

Hence, $g(x, u)$ is monotone increasing over u. Also, note the facts that

$$\lim_{u \to 0} g(x,u) = -\infty \text{ and } \lim_{u \to +\infty} g(x,u) = +\infty$$

then based on the intermediate value theorem there exists a unique $\tilde{u}(x) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $g(x, \tilde{u}(x)) = 0$. In addition, $g(x, u)$ is also continuous differentiable about x and $g_u(x, u)|_{u=\tilde{u}} \neq 0$, so $\tilde{u}(x) \in \mathscr{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}; \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ according to the implicit function theorem, which completes the proof. □

Based on the function $\tilde{u}(x)$, we could find a solution for the Lyapunov function PDEs (4.2) plus (4.3) derived from a CRN with $\dim \mathscr{S} = 1$ and a positive equilibrium. For this purpose, we begin with the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 4.7. *For a CRN $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$ with $\dim \mathscr{S} = 1$, let $w \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{\mathbb{0}_n\}$ be a set of bases of $\mathscr{S}$, and $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ represent any boundary point of any positive stoichiometric compatibility class induced by $\mathscr{S}$. Denote the index sets of positive and negative entries of w by P_w and N_w, respectively, and the index set of zero entries of $\bar{x}$ by $Z_{\bar{x}}$, then for $\forall\ \bar{x}$,*

$$Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq P_w \quad \text{or} \quad Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq N_w$$

Proof. Since $\bar{x}$ is a boundary point of a positive stoichiometric compatibility class induced by $\mathscr{S}$, so there exists a nonzero constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\bar{x} + \alpha w \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$$

If $\alpha > 0$, then for $\forall\ i \in Z_{\bar{x}}$ ($Z_{\bar{x}}$ is obviously non-empty) we have

$$\bar{x}_i + \alpha w_i > 0 \Rightarrow w_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \in P_w$$

Therefore, $Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq P_w$. Similarly, if $\alpha < 0$ then we can get $Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq N_w$. □

LEMMA 4.8. *For a CRN $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$ with $\dim \mathscr{S} = 1$, a function $J(y)$ from positive stoichiometric compatibility class $(x + \mathscr{S}) \bigcap \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is defined as follows*

$$J(y) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i \in P_w} y_i - \prod_{i \in N_w} y_i & P_w, N_w \neq \emptyset \\ \prod_{i \in P_w} y_i - 1 & P_w \neq \emptyset, N_w = \emptyset \\ \prod_{i \in N_w} y_i - 1 & N_w \neq \emptyset, P_w = \emptyset \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ represents any state of the CRN. Then, this function $J(y)$ admits a unique zero point in every $(x+\mathscr{S})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, and moreover, the unique zero point is a continuous differential function about x, denoted by $y^\dagger(x) \in \mathscr{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n_{>0};\mathbb{R}^n_{>0})$. In addition, there also exists another continuous differential function $\gamma(x) \in \mathscr{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n_{>0};\mathbb{R})$, which together with $y^\dagger(x)$ satisfies

$$x = y^\dagger(x) + \gamma(x)w \quad \textit{and} \quad \gamma(x+\delta w) = \gamma(x) + \delta,\ \forall\ \delta \in \mathbb{R}$$

where w is a set of bases of $\mathscr{S}$.

Proof. Clearly, for $\forall\ y \in (x+\mathscr{S})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ there exists a boundary point $\bar{x}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R},\ \beta \neq 0$ such that $y = \bar{x} + \beta w$. We conduct the proof according to three different cases below:

1) $P_w \neq \emptyset$ and $N_w \neq \emptyset$. In every $(x+\mathscr{S})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, there may exist two distinct boundary points, denoted by $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{x}'$, and moreover, they could reach each other through $\bar{x}' = \bar{x} + \beta_M w$, where $\beta_M \in \mathbb{R}$ but $\beta_M \neq 0$. According to *Lemma 4.7*, for $\bar{x}$ either $Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq P_w$ or $Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq N_w$ is true. If $Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq P_w$, then we have $\beta_M{>}0$ and $\beta \in (0, \beta_M)$. Further, we have $Z_{\bar{x}'} \subset N_w$. Following these results, we get

$$\lim_{\beta\to 0} J(\bar{x}+\beta w){<}0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\beta\to\beta_M} J(\bar{x}+\beta w){>}0$$

By intermediate value theorem, there exist a point $y^\dagger \in (x+\mathscr{S})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ rendering $J(y^\dagger) = 0$. Also, we note that $\frac{d}{d\beta}J(\bar{x}+\beta w) = \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial y}\right)^\top \frac{\partial y}{\partial \beta}{>}0$, the zero point $y^\dagger$ is unique. Similarly, if $Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq N_w$, the result is true too.

2) $P_w \neq \emptyset$ and $N_w = \emptyset$. In this case $Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq P_w$ and $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$. Thus, we have

$$\lim_{\beta\to 0} J(\bar{x}+\beta w) = -1, \quad \lim_{\beta\to+\infty} J(\bar{x}+\beta w) = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{d\beta}J(\bar{x}+\beta w){>}0$$

According to intermediate value theorem and strict monotonicity, $J(y)$ admits a unique zero point in $(x+\mathscr{S})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$.

3) $N_w \neq \emptyset$ and $P_w = \emptyset$. Based on the similar reason as in case 2), we can get the result immediately.

We continue to prove $y^\dagger(x) \in \mathscr{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n_{>0};\mathbb{R}^n_{>0})$ and $\gamma(x) \in \mathscr{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n_{>0};\mathbb{R})$. Let the function

$$\tilde{J}(x,\beta) = J(x-\beta w),\ x-\beta w \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$$

Clearly, for $\forall\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ there exists a sole $\beta = \gamma(x)$ such that $J(x-\gamma(x)w) = 0$, i.e., $x - \gamma(x)w = y^\dagger(x)$ and $\tilde{J}(x,\gamma(x)) = 0$. Note that $\tilde{J}(x,\beta)$ is continuous differentiable from the definition of $J(y)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\tilde{J}(x,\beta)\Big|_{\beta=\gamma(x)} \neq 0$, then by implicit function theorem we have $\gamma(x) \in \mathscr{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n_{>0};\mathbb{R})$. Further, we get $y^\dagger(x) \in \mathscr{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n_{>0};\mathbb{R}^n_{>0})$ from $y^\dagger(x) = x - \gamma(x)w$, i.e., $x = y^\dagger(x) + \gamma(x)w$.

Finally, we focus on proving $\gamma(x+\delta w) = \gamma(x)+\delta,\ \forall\ \delta \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $(x+\mathscr{S})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0} = (x+\delta w+\mathscr{S})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, we have $y^\dagger(x) = y^\dagger(x+\delta w)$, i.e., $x+\delta w-\gamma(x+\delta w)w = x-\gamma(x)w$. Further, since w is a set of bases of $\mathscr{S}$, we get $\gamma(x+\delta w) = \gamma(x)+\delta,\ \forall\ \delta$. □

By means of $\tilde{u}(x),\ y^\dagger(x)$ and $\gamma(x)$, a solution for the Lyapunov function PDEs (4.2) plus (4.3) is reachable.

THEOREM 4.9. *For a CRN $\{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{C},\mathcal{R}\}$ with $dim\mathscr{S} = 1$ and a positive steady state, $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}}$ in the form of (3.8) is selected as the boundary complex set where $\bar{x}$ is any boundary*

point of any positive stoichiometric compatibility class induced by $\mathscr{S}$. Assume that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}}$ includes at least a reactant complex and also a resultant complex, then the function defined by

$$f(x) = \int_0^{\gamma(x)} \ln \tilde{u}(y^\dagger(x) + \tau w)\, d\tau \tag{4.7}$$

is a solution of the Lyapunov function PDEs (4.2) plus (4.3), where $y^\dagger(x)$, $\gamma(x)$ and w share the same meanings with those in Lemma 4.8.

Proof. (1) The first part serves for proving that $f(x)$ in the form of (4.7) satisfies (4.2). Obviously, $f(x)$ is a continuous differentiable function defined on $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w^\top \nabla f(x) &= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{f(x+\delta w) - f(x)}{\delta} \\ &= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\gamma(x)}^{\gamma(x+\delta w)} \ln \tilde{u}(y^\dagger(x) + \tau w) \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\gamma(x)}^{\gamma(x)+\delta} \ln \tilde{u}(y^\dagger(x) + \tau w) \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &= \ln \tilde{u}(y^\dagger(x) + \gamma(x) w) \end{aligned}$$

Namely, $\exp\{w^\top \nabla f(x)\} = \tilde{u}(y^\dagger(x) + \gamma(x)w) = \tilde{u}(x)$, which obviously satisfies (4.2).

(2) The second part contributes to verifying that the current $f(x)$ satisfies the boundary condition of (4.3). We address this issue according to two different cases.

Case I: $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}} = \emptyset$. In this case (4.3) is obviously true since it is "$0 = 0$".

Case II: $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}} \neq \emptyset$. In this case there include at least two complexes in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}}$, one acting as a reactant complex and the other as a resultant complex. According to the definition of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}}$ in (3.8), we have $v_{ji} = 0$ and $v'_{ji'} = 0$ for $\forall\, j \in Z_{\bar{x}}$ if $v_{\cdot i}, v'_{\cdot i'} \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}$. Note that $i = i'$ is possible. Further, from *Lemma 4.7* we get that $Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq P_w$ or $Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq N_w$. For simplicity, let $Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq P_w$ for the following proof.

Imitating the boundary condition of (4.3), for $\forall\, \bar{x}$ we define a function $h(x, a)$ from $(\{\bar{x}\} \cup (\bar{x} + \mathscr{S}) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ as

$$h(x,a) = \sum_{\{i | v_{\cdot i} \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} - \sum_{\{i | v'_{\cdot i} \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i} + m_i \tilde{w}} a^{m_i}$$

where $\tilde{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with the jth ($j = 1, \cdots, n$) entry satisfying $\tilde{w}_j = w_j$ if $j \in Z_{\bar{x}}$, and $\tilde{w}_j = 0$ otherwise, and m_i shares the same meaning with (4.4). For the first term in the right hand side, since for $\forall\, j \in Z_{\bar{x}}$, $v_{ji} = 0$, we have $\bar{x}^{v_{\cdot i}} > 0$. We further analyze the sign of $x^{v_{\cdot i} + m_i \tilde{w}}$ in the second term. At this time $v'_{\cdot i} \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}$, we thus have $v'_{ji} = 0$ for $\forall\, j \in Z_{\bar{x}}$, where $v'_{ji} = v_{ji} + m_i w_j$ (based on (4.4)) $= v_{ji} + m_i \tilde{w}_j = 0$. This means $x^{v_{\cdot i} + m_i \tilde{w}} > 0$ and $m_i < 0$ ($j \in Z_{\bar{x}} \subseteq P_w, \tilde{w}_j > 0$ and $v_{ji} > 0$) in the second term. Based on these facts, we get

$$\lim_{a \to 0} h(x,a) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{a \to +\infty} h(x,a) > 0 \ \text{ and } \ \frac{\partial}{\partial a} h(x,a) > 0$$

As a result, there must exist a unique positive function $\hat{a}(x)$ such that $h(x, \hat{a}(x)) = 0$ for $\forall\, x$ by intermediate value theorem and monotonicity. Further, the function $\hat{a}(x)$ is continuous differentiable by implicit function theorem.

Based on $h(x,a)$ we further define another continuous differentiable function $\tilde{h}(x,a)$ from $((\bar{x}+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0})\times\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ as

$$\tilde{h}(x,a)=h(x,a)+\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}-\sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}+m_i\tilde{w}}a^{m_i}$$

For the second term in the right hand side, when $v_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}$ there exists $j\in Z_{\bar{x}}$ such that $\bar{x}_j=0$ and $v_{ji}>0$, i.e., $\bar{x}^{v_{\cdot i}}=0$. Analogously, for the third term when $v'_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}$ there also exists $j\in Z_{\bar{x}}$ such that $\bar{x}_j=0$ and $v'_{ji}=v_{ji}+m_iw_j=v_{ji}+m_i\tilde{w}_j>0$, i.e., $\bar{x}^{v_{\cdot i}+m_i\tilde{w}}=0$. Hence, for $\forall\ x\in(\bar{x}+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ we get

$$\lim_{x\to\bar{x}}\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}=0\ \text{ and }\ \lim_{x\to\bar{x}}\sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}+m_i\tilde{w}}=0$$

Further we have

$$\lim_{x\to\bar{x}}\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\tilde{h}(x,\hat{a}(x))=\frac{\partial}{\partial a}h(x,\hat{a}(x))\Big|_{x=\bar{x}}>0$$

which means that $\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\tilde{h}(x,\hat{a}(x))>0$ in $\mathcal{E}_1(\bar{x})$, a certain neighborhood of $\bar{x}$. Based on the same analysis, we can obtain $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a^2}\tilde{h}(x,a)\le 0$ in another certain neighborhood of $\bar{x}$, denoted by $\mathcal{E}_2(\bar{x})$.

Suppose $v'_{\cdot p}\in\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}$ and consider the neighborhood of $\bar{x}$, $\mathcal{E}_1(\bar{x})\cap\mathcal{E}_2(\bar{x})$, within which let

$$\theta_1(x)=-\left(\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}-\sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}+m_i\tilde{w}}\hat{a}(x)^{m_i}\right)\Big/\frac{\partial\tilde{h}(x,a)}{\partial a}\Big|_{a=\hat{a}(x)}$$

and

$$\theta_2(x)=\frac{\sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}\left(\sum_{m_i>0}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}+m_i\tilde{w}}[2\hat{a}(x)]^{m_i}+\sum_{m_i<0}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}+m_i\tilde{w}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\hat{a}(x)\right]^{m_i}\right)}{k_p x^{v_{\cdot p}+m_p\tilde{w}}\hat{a}(x)^{m_p}}$$

then we get

$$\tilde{h}(x,\hat{a}(x)+\theta_1(x))\le\tilde{h}(x,\hat{a}(x))+\frac{\partial\tilde{h}(x,a)}{\partial a}\Big|_{a=\hat{a}(x)}\theta_1(x)=h(x,\hat{a}(x))=0$$

Further let

$$\theta_3(x)=\max\left\{1,\quad\left|1-\frac{\sum_{\{i|v_i\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}k_i x^{v_i}}{k_p x^{v_{\cdot p}+m_p\tilde{w}}\hat{a}(x)^{m_p}}+\theta_2(x)\right|^{\frac{1}{m_p}}\right\}$$

It is easy to verify that $\lim_{x\to\bar{x}}\theta_3(x)=1$, from which we also obtain $1<\theta_3(x)<2$ when x is in a certain neighborhood of $\bar{x}$, $\mathcal{E}_3(\bar{x})$. Hence, for $\forall\ x\in\{\mathcal{E}_1(\bar{x})\cap\mathcal{E}_2(\bar{x})\cap\mathcal{E}_3(\bar{x})\}$ we have $h(x,\theta_3(x)\hat{a}(x))>h(x,\hat{a}(x))$, $0>k_p x^{v_{\cdot p}+m_p\tilde{w}}\left(\theta_3(x)\hat{a}(x)\right)^{m_p}-k_p x^{v_{\cdot p}+m_p\tilde{w}}\hat{a}(x)^{m_p}$ and

$$\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}-\sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}+m_i\tilde{w}}(\theta_3(x)\hat{a}(x))^{m_i}>\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}$$
$$-\sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}}\left(\sum_{m_i>0}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}+m_i\tilde{w}}(2\hat{a}(x))^{m_i}+\sum_{m_i<0}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}+m_i\tilde{w}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\hat{a}(x)\right)^{m_i}\right)$$

Note that the sum of the left terms of these three inequalities is just expressed as

$$\tilde{h}(x,\theta_3(x)\hat{a}(x)) = h(x,\theta_3(x)\hat{a}(x)) + \sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} - \sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}+m_i\tilde{w}}(\theta_3(x)\hat{a}(x))^{m_i}$$

while the sum of the right terms is greater than or equal to zero, i.e.,

$$\tilde{h}(x,\theta_3(x)\hat{a}(x)) \geq 0$$

Therefore, there must exists a solution between $\hat{a}(x)+\theta_1(x)$ and $\theta_3(x)\hat{a}(x)$, denoted by $\tilde{a}(x)$, such that $\tilde{h}(x,\tilde{a}(x))=0$, i.e.,

$$h(x,\tilde{a}(x)) + \sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} - \sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i}\notin\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}\}} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}+m_i\tilde{w}}(\tilde{a}(x))^{m_i} = 0$$

This together with the definition of $\tilde{h}(x,a)$ evaluated at $a=\tilde{a}(x)$ leads to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} - \sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}+m_i\tilde{w}}(\tilde{a}(x))^{m_i} = 0$$

Comparing it to the Lyapunov PDE of (4.2) yields that $u(x)=x^{\tilde{w}}\tilde{a}(x)$ is a solution of $(u-1)g(x,u)=0$. Note the facts that

$$\lim_{x\to\bar{x}}(\hat{a}(x)+\theta_1(x)) = \lim_{x\to\bar{x}}(\theta_3(x)\hat{a}(x)) = \lim_{x\to\bar{x}}\hat{a}(x)$$

and $\lim_{x\to\bar{x}}\tilde{a}(x)$ lies between $\lim_{x\to\bar{x}}(\hat{a}(x)+\theta_1(x))$ and $\lim_{x\to\bar{x}}(\theta_3(x)\hat{a}(x))$ thus by squeeze theorem we have

$$\lim_{x\to\bar{x}}\tilde{a}(x) = \hat{a}(\bar{x}) \text{ and } \lim_{x\to\bar{x}} x^{\tilde{w}}\tilde{a}(x) = 0.$$

Further, there exists a certain neighborhood of $\bar{x}$, $\mathcal{E}_4(\bar{x})$, such that $x^{\tilde{w}}\tilde{a}(x)\neq 1$. Hence, if $x\in\mathcal{E}_1(\bar{x})\cap\mathcal{E}_2(\bar{x})\cap\mathcal{E}_3(\bar{x})\cap\mathcal{E}_4(\bar{x})$, we have $g(x,x^{\tilde{w}}\tilde{a}(x))=0$, i.e., $\tilde{u}(x)=x^{\tilde{w}}\tilde{a}(x)$.

Utilizing the above analysis, we can get

$$\text{L.H.S of Eq. (4.3)} = \lim_{\substack{x\to\bar{x}\\ x\in\{\bar{x}+\mathscr{S}\}\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}} h(x,\tilde{a}(x)) = h(\bar{x},\hat{a}(\bar{x})) = 0$$

Therefore, $f(x)$ in the form of (4.7) satisfies the boundary condition of (4.3).

Similarly, in the case $Z_x\subseteq N_w$ the results hold too, which completes the proof. □

The following task focuses on verifying if $f(x)=\int_0^{\gamma(x)}\ln\tilde{u}(y^\dagger(x)+\tau w)\mathrm{d}\tau$ is able to serve as an available Lyapunov function for CRNs with $\dim\mathscr{S}=1$, including its convexity and the negative definiteness of its time derivatives.

LEMMA 4.10 (Local Negative Definiteness of $\dot{f}(x)$). *Consider a CRN $\{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{C},\mathcal{R}\}$ with $\dim\mathscr{S}=1$. If the Lyapunov function PDEs (4.2) plus (4.3) of this CRN have a solution $f(x)=\int_0^{\gamma(x)}\ln\tilde{u}(y^\dagger(x)+\tau w)d\tau$, then $\dot{f}(x)\leq 0$ with the equality holding if and only if x is the steady state.*

Proof. According to *Theorem 4.1*, we get $\dot{f}(x)\leq 0$ with the equality holding if and only if $\nabla f(x)\perp\mathscr{S}$. Since $\dim\mathscr{S}=1$ and further w is a set of bases for $\mathscr{S}$, the condition $\nabla f(x)\perp\mathscr{S}$ is equivalent to $\nabla f(x)\perp w$, i.e., $w^\top\nabla f(x)=0$. We thus get

$\tilde{u}(x) = e^{w^\top \nabla f(x)} = 1$. Note that $\tilde{u}(x)$ is a solution of $g(x,u) = 0$, then from (4.5) we have $g(x,1) = \sum_i^r k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} m_i = 0$. Since

$$\sum_i^r k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} m_i = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \sum_i^r k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} m_i w = \mathbb{0}_n \Longleftrightarrow \dot{x}(t) = \mathbb{0}_n$$

the condition needs that x takes the steady state, i.e., $\dot{f}(x) = 0$ if and only if x is the steady state. □

LEMMA 4.11 (Local Convexity of $\tilde{f}(x)$). *Consider a CRN $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$ that has $dim\mathscr{S} = 1$ and admits a positive equilibrium $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. If the Lyapunov function PDEs(4.2) plus (4.3) of this CRN have a solution $f(x) = \int_0^{\gamma(x)} \ln \tilde{u}(y^\dagger(x) + \tau w) d\tau$, and furthermore, the scalar function $g(x,u)$ defined by (4.5) satisfies*

$$w^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x,u)\Big|_{x=x^*} < 0 \tag{4.8}$$

then $f(x)$ is locally strictly convex around x^* in the positive stoichiometric compatibility class $(x^* + \mathscr{S}) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, and will reach the minimum at $x = x^*$.

Proof. Since $w^\top \nabla f(x) = \ln \tilde{u}(x)$, we have

$$\nabla^2 f(x) w = \frac{\nabla \tilde{u}(x)}{\tilde{u}(x)}$$

Also, since for $\forall\ x \in (x^* + \mathscr{S}) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, it can be expressed as $x = x^* + m^* w$, where $m^* \in \mathbb{R}$ needs to be set to make $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, and $m^* \neq 0$ if $x \neq x^*$, we get

$$(x - x^*)^\top \nabla^2 f(x^*)(x - x^*) = (m^*)^2 \frac{w^\top \nabla \tilde{u}(x^*)}{\tilde{u}(x^*)} = (m^*)^2 \frac{-w^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x^*, 1)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial u} g(x^*, 1)} \geq 0$$

In the second equality, we utilize $\tilde{u}(x^*) = e^{w^\top \nabla f(x^*)} = 1$ based on *Lemma 4.10*, and

$$\nabla \tilde{u}(x) = -\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x,u)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial u} g(x,u)}$$

based on *Proposition 4.6*.

Clearly, the last equality holds if and only if $m^* = 0$, i.e., $x = x^*$, so $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$ is positive definite in $\mathscr{S}$. Namely, $f(x)$ is locally strictly convex around x^* in $(x^* + \mathscr{S}) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. This together with $w^\top \nabla f(x^*) = 0$ means $f(x^*) \leq f(x)$ in a certain neighborhood of x^*. □

The above two Lemmas indicate that $f(x) = \int_0^{\gamma(x)} \ln \tilde{u}(y^\dagger(x) + \tau w) \mathrm{d}\tau$ is a available Lyapunov function for analyzing the stability of any CRN with $\dim\mathscr{S} = 1$. Finally, we have

THEOREM 4.12. *For a CRN $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$ with $dim\mathscr{S} = 1$ and a positive steady state $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, let $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}}$ defined by (3.8) represent the boundary complex set where $\bar{x}$ is any boundary point of any positive stoichiometric compatibility class induced by $\mathscr{S}$. If $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\exists\ v_{\cdot i}, v'_{\cdot i'} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}}$, and $w^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x^*, 1) < 0$ with $g(x,u)$ defined by (4.5), then the Lyapunov function PDEs (4.2) plus (4.3) are qualified for rendering this CRN to be locally asymptotically stable at x^* by producing a Lyapunov function.*

Proof. The result is straightforward through combining *Proposition 4.6, Theorem 4.9, Lemma 4.10, Lemma 4.11* with the fact that there exist finite equilibrium points in each positive stoichiometric compatibility class. □

Remark 3. *The condition $w^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x^*, 1) < 0$ essentially characterizes some behaviors of the CRN system after linearization. From the dynamic equation (2.7) for*

$dim\mathscr{S} = 1$

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}} m_i w$$

we get the linearized form at $x = x^*$ *as*

$$\dot{x}(t) = w \left(\frac{\partial g(x^*, 1)}{\partial x} \right)^{\top} (x - x^*)$$

It is clear that the coefficient matrix $w \left(\frac{\partial g(x^*,1)}{\partial x} \right)^{\top}$ *is of rank one and thus has only two eigenvalues* $w^{\top} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x^*, 1)$ *plus* 0 *if* $n \neq 1$. *Therefore, the condition* $w^{\top} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x^*, 1) < 0$ *means that the coefficient matrix need have a negative eigenvalue, which, namely, requests the linearized system of the CRN to be necessarily stable (but not necessarily asymptotically stable unless* $n = 1$*) at* $x = x^*$.

We demonstrate the performance of Lyapunov Function PDEs for CRNs with $\dim\mathscr{S} = 1$ through an example.

Example 2. *For the CRN*

$$S_1 \xrightarrow{k_1} S_2$$
$$2S_2 \xrightarrow{k_2} 2S_1$$

we have

$$v_{\cdot 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v'_{\cdot 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{\cdot 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v'_{\cdot 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dim\mathscr{S} = 1$$

and the dynamics to be

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = -k_1 x_1 + 2k_2 x_2^2 \\ \dot{x}_2(t) = k_1 x_1 - 2k_2 x_2^2 \end{cases}$$

Choose $w = (-1, 1)^{\top}$ *as a set of bases for* $\mathscr{S}$, *then* $m_1 = 1$ *and* $m_2 = -2$. *Thus, from Proposition 4.6 we have*

$$P_w = \{2\}, \quad N_w = \{1\} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x, u) = k_1 x_1 - k_2 x_2^2 u^{-1} - k_2 x_2^2 u^{-2}$$

Further, utilizing Lemma 4.8 and setting $g(x, u) = 0$ *we get*

$$y^{\dagger}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_2^{\top} \\ \mathbb{1}_2^{\top} \end{pmatrix} x, \quad \gamma(x) = \frac{w^{\top} x}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{u}(x) = \frac{k_2 x_2^2 + x_2 \sqrt{k_2^2 x_2^2 + 4k_1 k_2 x_1}}{2k_1 x_1}$$

For the current CRN, there include two types of boundary points, one is $(\bar{x}_1, 0)^{\top}$ *with* $\bar{x}_1 > 0$, *the other is* $\bar{x} = (0, \bar{x}_2)^{\top}$ *with* $\bar{x}_2 > 0$. *Following the definition of* $\bar{C}_{\bar{x}}$ *in (3.8), we set*

$$\bar{C}_{\bar{x}} = \begin{cases} \{v_{\cdot 1}, v'_{\cdot 2}\}, & \bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, 0)^{\top} \text{ with } \bar{x}_1 > 0; \\ \{v_{\cdot 2}, v'_{\cdot 1}\}, & \bar{x} = (0, \bar{x}_2)^{\top} \text{ with } \bar{x}_2 > 0. \end{cases}$$

Finally, we obtain a solution, based on Theorem 4.9, as

$$f(x) = \int_0^{\gamma(x)} \ln \frac{k_2 [y^{\dagger}(x) + \tau w]^{v_{\cdot 2}} + \sqrt{k_2^2 [y^{\dagger}(x) + \tau w]^{2v_{\cdot 2}} + 4k_1 k_2 [y^{\dagger}(x) + \tau w]^{v_{\cdot 1} + v_{\cdot 2}}}}{2k_1 [y^{\dagger}(x) + \tau w]^{v_{\cdot 1}}} d\tau$$

for the Lyapunov function PDEs (4.2) plus (4.3).

Let $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}$ be the unique equilibrium in $(x^* + \mathscr{S}) \cap \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}$. According to Theorem 4.12, since $w^\top \frac{\partial g(x^*,1)}{\partial x} = -k_1 - 4k_2 x_2^* < 0$, the current $f(x)$ is an available Lyapunov function for suggesting the studied system to be locally asymptotically stable at x^*.

Note that the condition $w^\top \frac{\partial g(x^*,1)}{\partial x} = -k_1 - 4k_2 x_2^* < 0$ in *Eaxmple 2* is always true, which in turn means it reasonable to set the condition of $w^\top \frac{\partial g(x^*,1)}{\partial x} < 0$ in *Theorem 4.12*.

5. Lyapunov Function PDEs for some CRNS with dim$\mathscr{S} \geq 2$. For general CRNs with dim$\mathscr{S} \geq 2$, we are not able to prove that the Lyapunov Function PDEs (3.6) plus (3.7) work validly in this paper. However, they are shown validly for some special CRNs with dim$\mathscr{S} \geq 2$.

5.1. CRNs of dim$\mathscr{S} \geq 2$ Composed of a Complex Balanced CRN and a series of CRNs of dim$\mathscr{S} = 1$. Consider a CRN $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$ as a combination of a complex balanced CRN, labeled as $\{\mathcal{S}^{(0)}, \mathcal{C}^{(0)}, \mathcal{R}^{(0)}\}$, and a few CRNs of 1-dimensional stoichiometric subspace, denoted by $\{\mathcal{S}^{(p)}, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}, \mathcal{R}^{(p)}\}$ $(p = 1, \cdots, \ell)$, respectively. These sub-networks are assumed to be independent each other. Namely, for $\forall\ p, q \in \{0, \cdots, \ell\}$, if $p \neq q$, then $\mathcal{S}^{(p)} \cap \mathcal{S}^{(q)} = \emptyset$. We define this class of CRNs as "Com-ℓSub1" CRNs.

In every sub-network $\{\mathcal{S}^{(p)}, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}, \mathcal{R}^{(p)}\}$, let n_p, r_p represent the number of species and of reactions, $v_{\cdot i^{(p)}}$ the reactant complex and $v'_{\cdot i^{(p)}}$ the resultant complex of the ith reaction $(i = 1, \cdots, r_p)$, respectively. Also, denote

$$n = \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} n_p,\ v_{\cdot i}^{(p)} = \bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1} \mathbb{0}_{n_q} \bigotimes v_{\cdot i^{(p)}} \bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell} \mathbb{0}_{n_q} \text{ and } {v'_{\cdot i}}^{(p)} = \bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1} \mathbb{0}_{n_q} \bigotimes v'_{\cdot i^{(p)}} \bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell} \mathbb{0}_{n_q}$$

where $\bigotimes$ is the Cartesian product, and $n_q = 0$ if $q<0$ or $q>\ell$, then the CRN $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}\}$ under consideration is expressed as

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{p=0}^{\ell} \mathcal{S}^{(p)},\ \ \mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{p=0}^{\ell} \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_p} \{v_{\cdot i}^{(p)}, {v'_{\cdot i}}^{(p)}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{p=0}^{\ell} \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_p} \{v_{\cdot i}^{(p)} \xrightarrow{k_i^{(p)}} {v'_{\cdot i}}^{(p)}\} \tag{5.1}$$

with the dynamics to be

$$\dot{x} = \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{r_p} k_i^{(p)} x^{v_{\cdot i}^{(p)}} \left({v'_{\cdot i}}^{(p)} - v_{\cdot i}^{(p)} \right) \tag{5.2}$$

where the state $x = \bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell} x^{(p)} \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ and $x^{(p)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{\geq 0}$ is the state of the CRN $\{\mathcal{S}^{(p)}, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}, \mathcal{R}^{(p)}\}$. Note that for $\forall\ p, q \in \{0, \cdots, \ell\}$ if $p \neq q$ then

$$\left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_p} \{v_{\cdot i}^{(p)} \xrightarrow{k_i^{(p)}} {v'_{\cdot i}}^{(p)}\} \right\} \bigcap \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_q} \{v_{\cdot i}^{(q)} \xrightarrow{k_i^{(q)}} {v'_{\cdot i}}^{(q)}\} \right\} = \emptyset$$

Therefore, the number of reactions contained in the CRN of (5.1) is $r = \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} r_p$.

In the following, we will expound that the Lyapunov Function PDEs induced by Com-ℓSub1 CRNs also work validly for stability analysis by generating a solution as a Lyapuonv function.

LEMMA 5.1. *The stoichiometric subspace $\mathscr{S}$ of a Com-ℓSub1 CRN satisfies*

$$\mathscr{S} = \bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell} \mathscr{S}^{(p)} \ \ \textit{and} \ \ \dim\mathscr{S} = \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} \dim\mathscr{S}^{(p)} = \dim\mathscr{S}^{(0)} + \ell \tag{5.3}$$

where $\mathscr{S}^{(p)}$ is the stoichiometric subspace of $\{\mathcal{S}^{(p)}, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}, \mathcal{R}^{(p)}\}$.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{S} &= \text{span}\left\{\bigcup_{p=0}^{\ell}\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_p}\{v_{\cdot i}^{(p)} - v'^{(p)}_{\cdot i}\}\right\}\\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\ell}\text{span}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_p}\{v_{\cdot i}^{(p)} - v'^{(p)}_{\cdot i}\}\right\}\\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{l}\left(\bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\bigotimes\mathscr{S}^{(p)}\bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\right)\\ &= \bigoplus_{p=0}^{l}\left(\bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\bigotimes\mathscr{S}^{(p)}\bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\right)\end{aligned}$$

we have $\mathscr{S} = \bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell}\mathscr{S}^{(p)}$ and $\dim\mathscr{S} = \sum_{p=0}^{\ell}\dim\mathscr{S}^{(p)} = \dim\mathscr{S}^{(0)} + \ell$. Here, $\bigoplus$ is the direct sum. □

LEMMA 5.2. *For any state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ of a Com-ℓSub1 CRN, if $x \in \partial_{(x+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}$ $((x+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0} \neq \emptyset)$, then for $\forall\ p \in \{0,\cdots,\ell\}$ we have $x^{(p)} \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ or $x^{(p)} \in \partial_{(x^{(p)}+\mathscr{S}^{(p)})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}$. Furthermore, there exists at least one $q \in \{0,\cdots,\ell\}$ such that $x^{(q)} \in \partial_{(x^{(q)}+\mathscr{S}^{(q)})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}$.*

Proof. Since $(x+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0} \neq \emptyset$. Based on *Lemma 5.1*, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(x+\mathscr{S})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0} &= \left(\bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell}x^{(p)} + \bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell}\mathscr{S}^{(p)}\right)\bigcap\left(\bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell}\mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{>0}\right)\\ &= \bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell}\left[(x^{(p)}+\mathscr{S}^{(p)})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{>0}\right] \neq \emptyset\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(x^{(p)}+\mathscr{S}^{(p)})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{>0} \neq \emptyset$, i.e., $x^{(p)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{>0}$ or $x^{(p)} \in \partial_{(x^{(p)}+\mathscr{S}^{(p)})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}$ for $\forall\ p$.

Besides, if $x^{(p)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{>0}$ for $\forall\ p$, then $x = \bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell}x^{(p)} \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, which contradicts with the condition $x \in \partial_{(x+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}$. Thus, there exists at least one $q \in \{0,\cdots,\ell\}$ such that $x^{(q)} \in \partial_{(x^{(q)}+\mathscr{S}^{(q)})\cap\mathbb{R}^{n_q}_{>0}}$. □

COROLLARY 5.3. *For any boundary point $\bar{x} \in \partial_{(\bar{x}+\mathscr{S})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}$ $((\bar{x}+\mathscr{S})\cap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0} \neq \emptyset)$ of a Com-ℓSub1 CRN, there exists an index set $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{x}} \subseteq \{0,\cdots,\ell\}$ such that if $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\bar{x}}$ then $x^{(p)} \in \partial_{(x^{(p)}+\mathscr{S}^{(p)})\cap\mathbb{R}^{n_q}_{>0}}$, which is denoted by $\bar{x}^{(p)}$ in the following.*

LEMMA 5.4. *For a Com-ℓSub1 CRN, let $\bar{x}$ represent any boundary point of any positive stoichiometric compatibility class, the naive boundary complex set of $\bar{x}$ is*

(5.4)

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}} = \bigcup_{p\in\mathbb{P}_{\bar{x}}}\left\{\bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\bigotimes z\bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\big|z \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(p)}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}}\right\}\bigcup_{p\notin\mathbb{P}_{\bar{x}}}\left\{\bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\bigotimes z\bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\big|z \in \mathcal{C}^{(p)}\right\}$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(p)}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}}$ is the naive boundary complex set of $\bar{x}^{(p)}$ for the network $\{\mathcal{S}^{(p)}, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}, \mathcal{R}^{(p)}\}$.

Proof. According to the definition of the naive boundary complex set in (3.8), the

one for the Com-ℓSub1 CRN is

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}} &= \bigcup_{p=0}^{\ell}\left[\left\{v_{\cdot i}^{(p)} \mid \forall\{j\}_1^n, \exists\epsilon{>}0, \bar{x}_j \geq \epsilon v_{ji}^{(p)}\right\}\bigcup\left\{{v'}_{\cdot i}^{(p)} \mid \forall\{j\}_1^n, \exists\epsilon{>}0, \bar{x}_j \geq \epsilon {v'}_{ji}^{(p)}\right\}\right] \\ &= \bigcup_{p=0}^{\ell}\left[\left\{\bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\bigotimes v_{\cdot i^{(p)}}\bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell}\mathbb{0}_{n_q} \mid \forall\{j\}_1^{n_p}, \exists\epsilon>0, x_j^{(p)} \geq \epsilon v_{ji^{(p)}}\right\}\right. \\ &\qquad \left.\bigcup\left\{\bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\bigotimes v'_{\cdot i^{(p)}}\bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell}\mathbb{0}_{n_q} \mid \forall\{j\}_1^{n_p}, \exists\epsilon>0, x_j^{(p)} \geq \epsilon v'_{ji^{(p)}}\right\}\right] \\ &= \bigcup_{p\in\mathbb{P}_{\bar{x}}}\left\{\bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\bigotimes z\bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\big|z\in\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}}^{(p)}\right\}\bigcup_{p\notin\mathbb{P}_{\bar{x}}}\left\{\bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\bigotimes z\bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\big|z\in\mathcal{C}^{(p)}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the result is true. □

LEMMA 5.5. *For a Com-ℓSub1 CRN, if the boundary complex set is chosen as the naive one given in Lemma 5.4, the Lyapunov Function PDEs are*

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\ell}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r_p}\Theta_1(i,p)-\sum_{i=1}^{r_p}\Theta_2(i,p)\right)=0 \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{p\in\mathbb{P}_{\bar{x}}}\lim_{\substack{x^{(p)}\to\bar{x}^{(p)}\\ x^{(p)}\in(\bar{x}^{(p)}+\mathscr{S}^{(p)})\cap\mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{>0}}}\left(\sum_{\{i|v_{\cdot i^{(p)}}\in\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(p)}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}}\}}\Theta_1(i,p)-\sum_{\{i|v'_{\cdot i^{(p)}}\in\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(p)}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}}\}}\Theta_2(i,p)\right) \\ &+\sum_{p\notin\mathbb{P}_{\bar{x}}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r_p}\Theta_1(i,p)-\sum_{i=1}^{r_p}\Theta_2(i,p)\right)=0\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where

$$\Theta_1(i,p)=k_i^{(p)}{x^{(p)}}^{v_{\cdot i^{(p)}}},\qquad \Theta_2(i,p)=k_i^{(p)}{x^{(p)}}^{v_{\cdot i^{(p)}}}\exp\left\{(v'_{\cdot i^{(p)}}-v_{\cdot i^{(p)}})^\top\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^{(p)}}\right\}$$

$x=\bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell}x^{(p)}\in\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, and $\bar{x}$ represents any boundary point of any positive stoichiometric compatibility class.

Proof. Referring to the Lyapunov Function PDE of (3.6), we can write the version for the case of a Com-ℓSub1 CRN to be

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\ell}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r_p}k_i^{(p)}x^{v_{\cdot i}^{(p)}}-\sum_{i=1}^{r_p}k_i^{(p)}x^{v_{\cdot i}^{(p)}}\exp\left\{({v'}_{\cdot i}^{(p)}-v_{\cdot i}^{(p)})^\top\nabla f(x)\right\}\right)=0$$

Since $x=\bigotimes_{q=0}^{\ell}x^{(q)}\in\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ and $v_{\cdot i}^{(p)}=\bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\bigotimes v_{\cdot i^{(p)}}\bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}$, we have

$$x^{v_{\cdot i}^{(p)}}=\left(\bigotimes_{q=0}^{\ell}x^{(q)}\right)^{\bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}\bigotimes v_{\cdot i^{(p)}}\bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell}\mathbb{0}_{n_q}}={x^{(p)}}^{v_{\cdot i^{(p)}}}$$

Also, we have

$$(v'^{(p)}_{\cdot i} - v^{(p)}_{\cdot i})^\top \nabla f(x) = \left(\bigotimes_{q=0}^{p-1} \mathbb{0}_{n_q} \bigotimes \left(v'_{\cdot i^{(p)}} - v_{\cdot i^{(p)}}\right)^\top \bigotimes_{q=p+1}^{\ell} \mathbb{0}_{n_q}\right)^\top \left(\bigotimes_{q=0}^{\ell} \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^{(p)}}\right)$$
$$= \left(v'_{\cdot i^{(p)}} - v_{\cdot i^{(p)}}\right)^\top \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^{(p)}}$$

Hence, we get (5.5).

By choosing (5.4) as the boundary complex set and referring to (3.7), we can write the boundary condition for the Lyapunov function PDE (5.5) to be (5.6). □

Clearly, the Lyapunov Function PDEs (5.5) and (5.6) for a Com-ℓSub1 CRN are a combination of those PDEs of all sub-networks $\{\mathcal{S}^{(p)}, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}, \mathcal{R}^{(p)}\}_{p=0}^{\ell}$. An immediate idea is to set a solution of the current Lyapunov Function PDEs also as a combination of the solutions obtained from the Lyapunov Function PDEs of every sub-network.

THEOREM 5.6. *For a Com-ℓSub1 CRN, the sub-network $\{\mathcal{S}^{(0)}, \mathcal{C}^{(0)}, \mathcal{R}^{(0)}\}$ is assumed to admit a positive complex balanced equilibrium while every other sub-network $\{\mathcal{S}^{(p)}, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}, \mathcal{R}^{(p)}\}$ $(p = 1, \cdots, \ell)$ is supposed to have a positive equilibrium. Further let every sub-network from $p = 1$ to ℓ possess the naive boundary complex set $\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(p)}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}}$ as the respective boundary complex set, and also, $\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(p)}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}} \neq \emptyset$ holds if and only if $\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(p)}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}}$ includes both reactant complexes and resultant complexes. Then the Lyapunov Function PDEs (5.5) and (5.6) for this Com-ℓSub1 CRN admit a solution in the form of*

$$f(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} f_p(x^{(p)}) \tag{5.7}$$

where $x = \bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell} x^{(p)} \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, and $f_p(x^{(p)})$ is a solution defined by (3.2) in case of $p = 0$ and (4.7) in case of others p for the Lyapunov Function PDEs of every sub-network $\{\mathcal{S}^{(p)}, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}, \mathcal{R}^{(p)}\}$.

Proof. According to *Theorems 4.2* and *4.9*, under the known conditions the Lyapunov Function PDEs of every sub-network included in the Com-ℓSub1 CRN have a solution defined by (3.2) in case of $p = 0$ and (4.7) in case of others p in the area $\mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{>0}$. Denote these solutions by $f_p(x^{(p)})$ from $p = 0$ to ℓ respectively, and further substitute each one into the corresponding Lyapunov Function PDEs, then we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r_p} k^{(p)}_i {x^{(p)}}^{v_{\cdot i^{(p)}}} - \sum_{i=1}^{r_p} k^{(p)}_i {x^{(p)}}^{v_{\cdot i^{(p)}}} \exp\left\{(v'_{\cdot i^{(p)}} - v_{\cdot i^{(p)}})^\top \nabla f_p(x^{(p)})\right\} = 0$$

Combining the sum of these equations from $p = 0$ to ℓ and the fact that $f(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} f_p(x^{(p)})$ leads to $\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^{(p)}} = \nabla f_p(x^{(p)})$ will yield the current $f(x)$ with $x = \bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell} x^{(p)} \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ satisfying the Lyapunov Function PDE of (5.5).

Consider any boundary point $\bar{x}$ of any positive stoichiometric compatibility class for this network. According to *Corollary 5.3*, there exists a nonempty $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{x}} \subseteq \{0, \cdots, \ell\}$ so that when $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\bar{x}}$ the pth entry of $\bar{x}$ is a boundary point of a certain positive stoichiometric compatibility class of the sub-network $\{\mathcal{S}^{(p)}, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}, \mathcal{R}^{(p)}\}$. For those $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\bar{x}}$ since $f_p(x^{(p)})$ satisfies the boundary condition (4.3), we have

$$\lim_{\substack{x^{(p)} \to \bar{x}^{(p)} \\ x^{(p)} \in (\bar{x}^{(p)} + \mathscr{S}^{(p)}) \cap \mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{>0}}} \sum_{\{i | v_{\cdot i^{(p)}} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(p)}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}}\}} \Theta_1(i,p) - \sum_{\{i | v'_{\cdot i^{(p)}} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(p)}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}}\}} \Theta_2(i,p) = 0$$

Namely, the first term in the left hand of (5.6) is equal to 0. The second term is also equal to 0 since for those $p \notin \mathbb{P}_{\bar{x}}$ every $f_p(x^{(p)})$ supports the Lyapuonv function (3.6). This completes the proof. □

LEMMA 5.7. *A state $x^* = \bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell} {x^{(p)}}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ is a positive equilibrium of a Com-ℓSub1 CRN if and only if for any $p = 1, \cdots, \ell$, ${x^{(p)}}^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{>0}$ is a positive equilibrium of the sub-network $\{\mathcal{S}^{(p)}, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}, \mathcal{R}^{(p)}\}$.*

Proof. The result is immediate by inserting the state $x^* = \bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell} {x^{(p)}}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ into the dynamics of the Com-ℓSub1 CRN (5.2). □

THEOREM 5.8. *For any $\ell \geq 1$, consider a Com-ℓSub1 CRN with the sub-network $\{\mathcal{S}^{(0)}, \mathcal{C}^{(0)}, \mathcal{R}^{(0)}\}$ admitting a complex balanced equilibrium ${x^{(0)}}^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n_0}_{>0}$ and other sub-networks $\{\mathcal{S}^{(p)}, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}, \mathcal{R}^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^{\ell}$ respectively admitting an equilibrium ${x^{(p)}}^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{>0}$. Also, for any sub-network $\{\mathcal{S}^{(p)}, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}, \mathcal{R}^{(p)}\}$ ($p \in \{1, \cdots, \ell\}$) the boundary complex set is chosen as the naive boundary complex set $\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(p)}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}}$ defined by (3.8), and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(p)}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}} \neq \emptyset$ holds if and only if $\bar{\mathcal{C}}^{(p)}_{\bar{x}^{(p)}}$ includes both reactant complexes and resultant complexes. If for all $\{p\}_1^{\ell}$ the conditions*

$$w_p^{\top} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{(p)}} g_p(x^{(p)}, u)\Big|_{x^{(p)}={x^{(p)}}^*} < 0$$

are true, then the Lyapunov Function PDEs induced by this Com-ℓSub1 CRN are able to produce a solution as a Lyapunov function serving for analyzing the local asymptotical stability of the network. Here, $w_p \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p} \backslash \{\mathbb{0}_{n_p}\}$ is a set of bases of $\mathscr{S}^{(p)}$ and $g_p(x^{(p)}, u)$ is defined according to (4.5).

Proof. From *Lemma 5.7*, since all sub-networks included in the Com-ℓSub1 CRN have an equilibrium ${x^{(p)}}^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{>0}$ ($\forall p \in \{0, \cdots, \ell\}$), the Com-ℓSub1 CRN admits a positive equilibrium $x^* = \bigotimes_{p=0}^{\ell} {x^{(p)}}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. Further from *Theorem 5.6*, $f(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} f_p(x^{(p)})$ defined by (5.7) is a solution of the Lyapunov Function PDEs (5.5) plus (5.6). Since the sub-network $\{\mathcal{S}^{(0)}, \mathcal{C}^{(0)}, \mathcal{R}^{(0)}\}$ is complex balanced and all conditions $w_p^{\top} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{(p)}} g_p(x^{(p)}, u)\Big|_{x^{(p)}={x^{(p)}}^*} < 0$ from $p = 1$ to ℓ are true, $f_p(x^{(p)})$ ($p = 0, \cdots, \ell$) is locally positive definite and $\dot{f}_p(x^{(p)})$ is locally negative definite with respect to ${x^{(p)}}^*$ in $\left({x^{(p)}}^* + \mathscr{S}^{(p)}\right) \bigcap \mathbb{R}^{n_p}_{>0}$. These together with *Theorem 4.3*, *Lemmas 4.10* and *4.11* will lead to that $f(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} f_p(x^{(p)})$ is locally positive definite and $\dot{f}(x)$ is locally negative definite with respect to x^* in $(x^* + \mathscr{S}) \bigcap \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. Hence, $f(x)$ can act as a Lyapunov function to suggest the Com-ℓSub1 CRN to be locally asymptotically stable at x^*. □

Example 3. *Consider a Com-ℓSub1 CRN ($\ell = 1$) with the reaction route following*

(5.8)



The sub-network $\{\mathcal{S}^{(0)}, \mathcal{C}^{(0)}, \mathcal{R}^{(0)}\}$ is complex balanced that has complexes as

$$v_{\cdot 1^{(0)}} = v'_{\cdot 3^{(0)}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; v_{\cdot 2^{(0)}} = v'_{\cdot 1^{(0)}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; v_{\cdot 3^{(0)}} = v'_{\cdot 2^{(0)}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and admits a complex equilibrium $x^{(0)^*} = \left(k_2^{(0)}k_3^{(0)}, k_1^{(0)}k_3^{(0)}, k_1^{(0)}k_2^{(0)}\right)^\top$. *The sub-network* $\{\mathcal{S}^{(1)}, \mathcal{C}^{(1)}, \mathcal{R}^{(1)}\}$ *(the same with Example 2) is of* 1*-dimensional stoichiometric subspace with complexes*

$$v_{\cdot 1^{(1)}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v'_{\cdot 1^{(1)}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{\cdot 2^{(1)}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v'_{\cdot 2^{(1)}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and an equilibrium $x^{(1)^*} = \left(2k_2^{(1)}, \sqrt{k_1^{(1)}}\right)^\top$. *Moreover, the naive boundary complex set is set as*

$$\bar{C}_{\bar{x}^{(1)}} = \begin{cases} \left\{v_{\cdot 1^{(1)}}, v'_{\cdot 2^{(1)}}\right\}, & \bar{x}^{(1)} = (\bar{x}_{1^{(1)}}, 0)^\top \text{ with } \bar{x}_{1^{(1)}} > 0; \\ \left\{v_{\cdot 2^{(1)}}, v'_{\cdot 1^{(1)}}\right\}, & \bar{x}^{(1)} = (0, \bar{x}_{2^{(1)}})^\top \text{ with } \bar{x}_{2^{(1)}} > 0. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 5.6, there exists a solution supporting the Lyapunov Function PDEs induced from this Com-ℓSub1 CRN, written as

$$f(x) = f_0(x^{(0)}) + f_1(x^{(1)})$$

where $x^{(0)} = (x_{1^{(0)}}, x_{2^{(0)}}, x_{3^{(0)}})^\top$, $x^{(1)} = (x_{1^{(1)}}, x_{2^{(1)}})^\top$, $x = x^{(0)} \bigotimes x^{(1)}$,

$$f_0(x^{(0)}) = {x^{(0)}}^\top \mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x^{(0)}}{x^{(0)^*}}\right) - \mathbb{1}_3^\top \left(x^{(0)} - x^{(0)^*}\right)$$

and

$$f_1(x^{(1)}) = \int_0^{\gamma(x^{(1)})} \ln \frac{k_2^{(1)}\hat{y}^{v_{\cdot 2^{(1)}}} + \sqrt{\left(k_2^{(1)}\right)^2 \hat{y}^{2v_{\cdot 2^{(1)}}} + 4k_1^{(1)}k_2^{(1)}\hat{y}^{v_{\cdot 1^{(1)}} + v_{\cdot 2^{(1)}}}}}{2k_1^{(1)}\hat{y}^{v_{\cdot 1^{(1)}}}} d\tau$$

In the above equation, $\hat{y} = y^\dagger\left(x^{(1)}\right) + \tau w_1$ *and*

$$y^\dagger\left(x^{(1)}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_2^\top \\ \mathbb{1}_2^\top \end{pmatrix} x^{(1)}, \quad \gamma\left(x^{(1)}\right) = \frac{w_1^\top x^{(1)}}{2}, \quad w_1 = (-1, 1)^\top$$

Further, from $g_1\left(x^{(1)}, u\right) = k_1^{(1)}x_{1^{(1)}} - k_2^{(1)}\left(x_{2^{(1)}}\right)^2 u^{-1} - k_2^{(1)}\left(x_{2^{(1)}}\right)^2 u^{-2}$ *we have*

$$w_1^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{(1)}} g_1\left(x^{(1)}, u\right)\Big|_{x^{(1)} = x^{(1)^*}} = -k_1^{(1)} - 4k_2^{(1)}x^*_{2^{(1)}} < 0$$

Therefore, based on Theorem 5.7, $f(x)$ *is an available Lyapunove function for the given Com-ℓSub1 CRN and could suggest its equilibrium* $\left(x^{(0)^*}, x^{(1)^*}\right)^\top$ *to be locally asymptotically stable.*

5.2. Other Special CRN with dim$\mathscr{S} = 2$. Consider a CRN of the form

$$\begin{aligned} 2S_1 &\xrightarrow{k_1} S_1 + S_2 \\ 2S_2 &\xrightarrow{k_2} S_2 + S_3 \\ 2S_3 &\xrightarrow{k_3} S_3 + S_1 \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

The corresponding parameter vectors are

$$v_{\cdot 1}=\begin{pmatrix}2\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, v'_{\cdot 1}=\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}, v_{\cdot 2}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\2\\0\end{pmatrix}, v'_{\cdot 2}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\1\end{pmatrix}, v_{\cdot 3}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\2\end{pmatrix}, v'_{\cdot 3}=\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\1\end{pmatrix}$$

and the dynamics takes

$$\dot{x}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{3}k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}\left(v'_{\cdot i}-v_{\cdot i}\right),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n_{>0} \tag{5.10}$$

We have $\dim\mathscr{S}=\dim\operatorname{span}(v'_{\cdot 1}-v_{\cdot 1}, v'_{\cdot 2}-v_{\cdot 2}, v'_{\cdot 3}-v_{\cdot 3})=2$. Obviously, this network does not belong to any type of CRNs mentioned above. However, it has some special properties.

LEMMA 5.9. *In every positive stoichiometric compatibility class induced by any given $\tilde{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ and the stoichiometric subspace $\mathscr{S}$ of the CRN governed by (5.10), any state x of this CRN is constrained by $\mathbb{1}_3^\top x=\mathbb{1}_3^\top\tilde{x}$.*

Proof. From $\mathscr{S}=\operatorname{span}(v'_{\cdot 1}-v_{\cdot 1}, v'_{\cdot 2}-v_{\cdot 2}, v'_{\cdot 3}-v_{\cdot 3})$, we have that the orthogonal complement space $\mathscr{S}^\perp$ of $\mathscr{S}$ is of one dimension, and $(1,1,1)^\top$ can act as a set of bases of $\mathscr{S}^\perp$, i.e., $(1,1,1)^\top\perp\mathscr{S}$. Therefore, for every positive stoichiometric compatibility class $(\tilde{x}+\mathscr{S})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ induced by any given $\tilde{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ and $\mathscr{S}$, the state x of the CRN satisfies $x-\tilde{x}\in\mathscr{S}$, i.e., $(x-\tilde{x})\perp(1,1,1)^\top$. We get $\mathbb{1}_3^\top x=\mathbb{1}_3^\top\tilde{x}$. □

LEMMA 5.10. *The CRN governed by (5.10) admits a unique equilibrium in each positive stoichiometric compatibility class. Furthermore, this sole equilibrium, denoted by $x^*\in\mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$, satisfies $\sqrt{k_1}x_1^*=\sqrt{k_2}x_2^*=\sqrt{k_3}x_3^*$.*

Proof. Let $(\tilde{x}+\mathscr{S})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ represent any positive stoichiometric compatibility class in which the state of the CRN following (5.10) evolves. If the equilibrium $x^*\in\mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$ is existent, then it must satisfy

$$\mathbb{1}_3^\top x^*=\mathbb{1}_3^\top\tilde{x}\quad\text{and}\quad k_1\left(x_1^*\right)^2=k_2\left(x_2^*\right)^2=k_3\left(x_3^*\right)^2$$

Denote

$$K=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 1\\ \sqrt{k_1} & -\sqrt{k_2} & 0\\ \sqrt{k_1} & 0 & -\sqrt{k_3}\end{pmatrix}$$

then the above two relations can be integrated together and rewritten as

$$Kx^*=\mathbb{1}_3^\top\tilde{x}$$

Since $\det(K)=\sqrt{k_1k_2}+\sqrt{k_2k_3}+\sqrt{k_1k_3}\neq 0$, x^* is existent and also unique in $(\tilde{x}+\mathscr{S})\bigcap\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. Furthermore, x^* supports the relation $\sqrt{k_1}x_1^*=\sqrt{k_2}x_2^*=\sqrt{k_3}x_3^*$, and

$$x^*=\frac{\mathbb{1}_3^\top\tilde{x}}{\sqrt{k_1k_2}+\sqrt{k_2k_3}+\sqrt{k_1k_3}}\left(\sqrt{k_2k_3},\sqrt{k_1k_3},\sqrt{k_1k_2}\right)^\top$$

□

Based on these two properties, it is not difficult to find a solution for the Lyapunov function PDEs (3.6) and (3.7) of this CRN.

THEOREM 5.11. *For the CRN described by (5.10), if the boundary complex set $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}}$ is set to be empty, then the Lyapunov function PDEs (3.6) and (3.7) generated by this CRN admit a solution*

$$f(x)=2x^\top\operatorname{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)-2\mathbb{1}_3^\top(x-x^*) \tag{5.11}$$

where $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$ is an equilibrium of the CRN under consideration.

Proof. Substituting $\nabla f(x) = 2\mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right)$ into the L.H.S. of (3.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\text{L.H.S of Eq. (3.6)} &= k_1x_1^2 + k_2x_2^2 + k_3x_3^2 - k_1\left(\frac{x_1^*}{x_2^*}\right)^2 x_2^2 - k_2\left(\frac{x_2^*}{x_3^*}\right)^2 x_3^2 - k_3\left(\frac{x_3^*}{x_1^*}\right)^2 x_1^2 \\ &= k_1x_1^2 + k_2x_2^2 + k_3x_3^2 - k_2x_2^2 - k_3x_3^2 - k_1x_1^2 \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f(x) = 2x^\top \mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right) - 2\mathbb{1}_3^\top$ is a solution of the PDE (3.6). Further, the boundary condition of (3.7) is naturally true with $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}} = \emptyset$, which completes the proof. □

Remark 4. *The solution $f(x) = 2x^\top \mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right) - 2\mathbb{1}_3^\top(x - x^*)$ is actually a function like the Gibbs' free energy. It can be rewritten as $f(x) = 2G(x)$.*

THEOREM 5.12. *The Lyapunov function PDEs (3.6) and (3.7) generated by the CRN of (5.10) is qualified for producing a solution as a Lyapunov function to suggest this CRN to be locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Theorem 5. has shown that $f(x) = 2x^\top \mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right) - 2\mathbb{1}_3^\top(x - x^*)$ is a solution for the Lyapunov function PDEs (3.6) plus (3.7) under the condition of $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x}} = \emptyset$. According to *Lemmas 5.1* and *5.2*, let $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$ be the sole equilibrium of the CRN in any given positive stoichiometric compatibility class $(\tilde{x} + \mathscr{S}) \bigcap \mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$. Making the 2nd Taylor's expansion of the current $f(x)$ at x^*, we have

$$f(x) = f(x^*) + (x - x^*)^\top \nabla f(x^*) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x^*)^\top \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(\xi)(x - x^*)$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$ lies between x and x^*. Since $f(x^*) = 0$, $\nabla f(x^*) = \mathbb{0}_3$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(\xi) = \mathrm{diag}\{2/\xi_1, 2/\xi_2, 2/\xi_3\}$, $f(x)$ is locally strictly convex with respect to x^* in $(\tilde{x} + \mathscr{S}) \bigcap \mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$.

On the other hand, from *Theorem 4.1* $f(x) = 2x^\top \mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right) - 2\mathbb{1}_3^\top(x - x^*)$ acting as a solution for the Lyapunov function PDEs (3.6) plus (3.7) must satisfy $\dot{f}(x) \leq 0$ with the equality holding if and only if $\nabla f(x) \perp \mathscr{S}$, i.e., $\dot{f}(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla f(x) \parallel (1,1,1)^\top$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f(x) \parallel (1,1,1)^\top &\Longleftrightarrow \left(\frac{x_1}{x_1^*}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{x_2}{x_2^*}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{x_3}{x_3^*}\right)^2 \\ &\Longleftrightarrow k_1x_1^2 = k_2x_2^2 = k_3x_3^2 \\ &\Longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_i x^{v_{\cdot i}}(v'_{\cdot i} - v_{\cdot i}) = \mathbb{0}_3\end{aligned}$$

we have $\dot{f}(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = x^*$. Therefore, $f(x) = 2x^\top \mathrm{Ln}\left(\frac{x}{x^*}\right) - 2\mathbb{1}_3^\top(x - x^*)$ is an available Lyapunov function to suggest the CRN of (5.10) to be locally asymptotically stable at $x = x^*$. □

6. Conclusion and Some Open Problems. This paper is devoted to developing the Lyapunov function with clear physical meaning for stability analysis for chemical reaction networks. We have attempted to address this issue by establishing approximation from a microscopic concept of CRNs, the scaling non-equilibrium potential, to the macroscopic notation of the candidate Lyapunov function. After rewriting the Chemical Master Equation skillfully, we have succeeded in implementing the approximation and transformed the ODE into a PDE, which together with

the developed boundary condition yields the Lyapunov Function PDEs. And then, we have proved that the solution (if exists) of the PDEs is dissipative, and thus has great potential to become a Lyapunov function. Next, we have applied the Lyapunov Function PDEs to complex-balanced CRNs and general CRNs with 1-dimensional stoichiometric subspace, and constructed a solution for these two cases, respectively, to act as a Lyapunov function rendering the respective system to be locally asymptotically stable. Finally, we have extended the applications of the Lyapunov Function PDEs to some special CRNs with more than 2-dimensional stoichiometric subspace, and proved that the PDEs also work validly for them in stability analysis by generating a solution as an available Lyapunov function.

Notwithstanding the performance illustrated by the Lyapunov Function PDEs is very encouraging, there are still some problems needed to be explored in the future. One of the most urgent problems is to prove that the Lyapunov Function PDEs CAN or CANNOT serve for general CRNs with more than 2-dimensional stoichiometric subspace. This may be an extremely arduous task, however, we are inclined to think they can. We summarize the proof task as a conjecture: "*For any chemical reaction network that admits a stable positive equilibrium, if the boundary complex set is equipped properly, then the Lyapunov Function PDEs induced by this network have a solution qualified as a Lyapunov function to suggest the network to be locally asymptotically stable at the equilibrium.*"

Another open problem is to analyze the existence of the Lyapunov Function PDEs from the viewpoint of microscopic level. Essentially, the PDEs are derived from the Chemical Master Equation by approximating the scaling non-equilibrium potential. Therefore, the existence of the PDEs should depend on that of the non-equilibrium potential. However, the PDEs may be also generated directly from the macroscopic dynamics of the CRN under study. In this way, there always exist the Lyapunov Function PDEs for a CRN no matter if the non-equilibrium potential is existent. These two ways of generating the Lyapunov Function PDEs seem to induce a paradox. What is the root of the Lyapunov Function PDEs derived from the macroscopic CRN dynamics if the non-equilibrium potential does not exist? Anderson et. al. [4] reported that the following CRN

$$S_1 + 2S_2 \to 3S_2$$
$$2S_2 \to S_1 + S_2$$

has no positive stationary distribution in microscopic level, so it has no non-equilibrium potential. Nevertheless, the dynamics indicates this network has 1-dimensional stoichiometric subspace, and can induce the Lyapunov Function PDEs to generate a solution for stability analysis of this system. How to explain the implying reasons is a great challenge.

REFERENCES

[1] Muhammad Ali Al-Radhawi and David Angeli. New approach to the stability of chemical reaction networks: Piecewise linear in rates lyapunov functions. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 61(1):76–89, 2016.

[2] David F Anderson, Gheorghe Craciun, Manoj Gopalkrishnan, and Carsten Wiuf. Lyapunov functions, stationary distributions, and non-equilibrium potential for reaction networks. *Bulletin of mathematical biology*, 77(9):1744–1767, 2015.

[3] David F Anderson, Gheorghe Craciun, and Thomas G Kurtz. Product-form stationary distributions for deficiency zero chemical reaction networks. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 72(8):1947–1970, 2010.

[4] David F Anderson, Germán A Enciso, and Matthew D Johnston. Stochastic analysis of biochemical reaction networks with absolute concentration robustness. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 11(93), 2014.

[5] David F Anderson and Thomas G Kurtz. Continuous time markov chain models for chemical reaction networks. In *Design and analysis of biomolecular circuits*, pages 3–42. Springer, 2011.

[6] David Angeli. A tutorial on chemical reaction network dynamics. *European Journal of Control*, 15(3):398–406, 2009.

[7] David Angeli, Patrick De Leenheer, and Eduardo D Sontag. A petri net approach to the study of persistence in chemical reaction networks. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 210(2):598–618, 2007.

[8] Stewart N Ethier and Thomas G Kurtz. *Markov processes: characterization and convergence*, volume 282. John Wiley & Sons, 2009.

[9] Martin Feinberg. Complex balancing in general kinetic systems. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 49(3):187–194, 1972.

[10] Martin Feinberg. Chemical reaction network structure and the stability of complex isothermal reactorsi. the deficiency zero and deficiency one theorems. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 42(10):2229–2268, 1987.

[11] Martin Feinberg. Chemical reaction network structure and the stability of complex isothermal reactorsii. multiple steady states for networks of deficiency one. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 43(1):1–25, 1988.

[12] Martin Feinberg. Necessary and sufficient conditions for detailed balancing in mass action systems of arbitrary complexity. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 44(9):1819–1827, 1989.

[13] Martin Feinberg. The existence and uniqueness of steady states for a class of chemical reaction networks. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 132(4):311–370, 1995.

[14] Desmond J Higham. Modeling and simulating chemical reactions. *SIAM Review*, 50(2):347–368, 2008.

[15] Fritz Horn and Roy Jackson. General mass action kinetics. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 47(2):81–116, 1972.

[16] Shodhan Rao, Arjan van der Schaft, and Bayu Jayawardhana. A graph-theoretical approach for the analysis and model reduction of complex-balanced chemical reaction networks. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 51(9):2401–2422, 2013.

[17] Sidney I Resnick. *Adventures in stochastic processes*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

[18] Eduardo D Sontag. Structure and stability of certain chemical networks and applications to the kinetic proofreading model of t-cell receptor signal transduction. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 46(7):1028–1047, 2001.

[19] Gábor Szederkényi and Katalin M Hangos. Finding complex balanced and detailed balanced realizations of chemical reaction networks. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 49(6):1163–1179, 2011.

[20] Arjan van der Schaft, Shodhan Rao, and Bayu Jayawardhana. On the mathematical structure of balanced chemical reaction networks governed by mass action kinetics. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 73(2):953–973, 2013.